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Abstract
Numerous pipelines have been installed in the North Sea to support offshore oil and gas extraction. Pipeline decommissioning options include full and partial removal, as well as in situ decommissioning, either with or without intervention. The choice of decommissioning strategy has social, economic and safety implications for commercial fisheries, according to the type and intensity of fishing in the vicinity. Assessing the impacts of decommissioning strategies on fisheries and mitigation options is an essential step in the decommissioning consenting process. It is important that fisheries impact assessments employ the best available data that are capable of resolving the fine-scale spatial patterns that are known to exist in pipeline-fishing overlaps. This paper describes the development of geographic information system (GIS) layers that provide high-resolution fishing intensity data for individual pipeline sections. The layers were created using fishing data extracted from the vessel monitoring system (VMS) for UK vessels operating mobile demersal gear between 2007 and 2015. The layers are freely available to download via the Scottish Government’s National Marine Plan Interactive (NMPi). The layers provide a common evidence base for industry, regulators and stakeholders to assess the impacts of different decommissioning options to commercial fisheries during the decommissioning process.
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1. Introduction
The wide-scale deployment of pipelines in the north east Atlantic has facilitated the exploitation of offshore oil and gas reserves since the 1960s. There are ~2500 pipelines in the North Sea, with a combined length of ~44 000 km (Oil and Gas UK, 2013). Pipelines range in diameter from 2” to 42” (ca. 5 cm to 107 cm internal diameter) and are categorised as ‘surface-laid’, resting on top of the substratum, or trenched, laid within a subsurface channel that is either back-filled – naturally or artificially – or left open (Oil and Gas UK, 2013). Pipelines are generally constructed from steel, but may have concrete or polymer external coatings (Oil and Gas UK, 2013).

To date, less than 2% of UK pipelines have been decommissioned (Oil and Gas UK, 2013), but ~7500 km of pipelines are scheduled for decommissioning by 2026 (Oil and Gas UK, 2016). Unlike oil and gas platforms (OSPAR, 1998), there are no international regulations dictating pipeline decommissioning, and individual governments are able to set their own national policies. Options for pipeline decommissioning include full and partial removal, and leaving pipelines in situ, either with or without intervention (e.g. the addition of protective material such as rock placement) (Oil and Gas UK, 2013). The choice of pipeline decommissioning strategy has implications for the environment and other marine industries, including commercial fishing (Love and York, 2005; McLean et al., 2017; Rouse et al., in press).

Commercial fisheries are one of the largest users of the North Sea in terms of spatial footprint and are considered to be major stakeholders in decommissioning decisions (Eastwood et al., 2007; Jentoft and Knol, 2014). Outside the 500 m exclusion zones around platforms, there are no restrictions on fishing in the vicinity of pipelines. Substantial overlaps exist between fishing activity and pipelines in certain regions, including the northern North Sea and east of Shetland, and a number of vessels
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appear to actively target pipelines when fishing (Rouse et al., in press). Under UK regulations, operators must evaluate pipeline decommissioning options in terms of cost, safety, technical feasibility, the environmental consequences and the societal impacts through a comparative assessment process (Department of Energy and Climate Change (DECC), 2011). The comparative assessment is reviewed by government advisors and statutory consultees, who provide feedback and advice to the regulator. The societal impacts that must be considered in the comparative assessment include the consequences of decommissioning to commercial fishers. These include potential snagging hazards from in situ decommissioned pipelines, and loss of access either during the decommissioning process and/or as a result of disused pipelines left on the seabed (de Groot, 1982; Jiexin et al., 2013). Snagging can potentially result in damage to gear, loss of fishing time and/or risk of injuries to crew. Additionally, physical contact between fishing gear and decommissioned pipelines can be a risk to pipeline integrity and, over time, increase the snagging hazard posed by the pipeline (Ellinas et al., 1995; Det Norske Veritas (DNV), 2006). Repeated trawling activity may also disturb any protective material (such as rock placement) which has been added to in situ decommissioned pipelines to mitigate snagging risks.

The implications of any particular decommissioning method to commercial fishing operations will depend on both the type and intensity of fishing in the vicinity. Currently, the majority of operators (and their consultants) use fishing intensity data that are reported at the scale of the International Council for Exploration of the Sea (ICES) statistical rectangles (1° latitude by 1° longitude) as the basis of decommissioning impact assessments (see Canadian Natural Resources International (2017) as an example of the use of ICES-scale data†). Integration of effort across ICES rectangles prevents the identification of fine-scale spatial fisheries patterns, and interactions between vessels and individual pipeline sections in particular will not be detectable (Mills et al., 2007; Lee et al., 2010; Rouse et al., in press).

Since 2005, all vessels ≥15 m registered in the European Union (EU) have been required to submit their location, heading and speed to the relevant EU competent authority through a vessel monitoring system (VMS) (European Commission (EC), 2002). In 2012, the size of vessels required to fit VMS was reduced to 12 m. Data must be submitted at regular intervals, and a minimum of every 2 hours (EC, 2002). Data extracted from the VMS can be analysed to provide higher resolution representations of fishing intensity (Mills et al., 2007; Vermard et al., 2010). These data offer significant potential for aiding decommissioning decisions by providing a more accurate representation of spatial overlaps between fishing and specific pipelines or pipeline sections (Rouse et al., in press). VMS data also offer a common evidence base that is available across the entire North Sea region and can be shared between operators, regulators and stakeholders for assessing fisheries-pipeline interactions. This can facilitate timely, consistent and transparent decision-making, as advocated under the Marine Strategy Framework Directive (Flannery and Ó Cinnéide, 2012).

This paper provides details on the development and publication of spatial data layers using VMS data from the UK commercial fishing fleet to show the fishing intensity along the length of North Sea oil and gas pipelines. These data layers represent an alternative source of fishing intensity data that can be used in the evaluation of societal impacts in the comparative assessment framework for decommissioning.

2. Development of geographic information system layers

2.1. Data sources

VMS data were obtained from the Scottish Government’s Fisheries Information Network database for all UK commercial fishing vessels that operate dredges, *Nephrops* trawls (otter and pair trawls) and demersal trawls (otter, beam and pair trawls) over the period 2007–2015. VMS records were only included from vessels greater than 15 m in length, with no data from vessels between 12 m and 15 m (for detailed descriptions of fishing gear types, see Montgomerie, 2015). For each VMS record (reported approximately every 2 hours), the following attributes were available: latitude, longitude, date, time, speed, heading and vessel size.

The VMS data were linked to vessel logbooks to obtain gear type information. The location and properties of pipelines in the North Sea were obtained from Oil and Gas UK (Common Access Data (CDA), 2013) and the Norwegian Petroleum Directorate (2016). The pipeline dataset consisted of trunklines (large pipelines transporting oil or gas), flexible and rigid flowlines (transporting oil or gas) and umbilicals (transporting chemicals or hydraulic fluids), as well as cables, mooring lines and anchor chains. Data included the operator, diameter and fluid medium inside each pipeline. Data on whether pipelines were surface-laid or trenched were not available.

† Canadian Natural Resources also presented a map of VMS records in the northern North Sea, obtained from the Marine Management Organisation, to complement ICES-scale fishing intensity data.
error between interpolated tracks (~500 m for VMS reported at a 2 hour interval for UK trawlers and dredgers) and the true fishing path (Billet, 2016), and previous analysis of VMS data around a Dutch pipeline (Hintzen, 2016). The fishing intensity associated with each 1 km section was calculated as the total number of tracks intersecting the area extending 500 m either side of the pipeline (i.e. in a 1 km by 1 km square containing a pipeline section at the centre). Tracks within the 1 km² were included irrespective of whether the track intersected with the pipeline, based on the assumption that gear may still interact with a pipeline without the vessel crossing over the pipeline. The intensity was calculated for each year and according to four gear categories: (1) dredging; (2) Nephrops trawls (pair and otter trawls); (3) demersal trawls (otter, pair and beam trawls); and (4) all mobile demersal boats (dredging, Nephrops and demersal amalgamated). The number of fishing tracks for each gear category was joined to the pipeline section attributes to create four GIS layers (one for each gear category). The resulting layers, showing pipelines across the whole North Sea, are available to view via the Scottish Government’s National Marine Plan interactive2 (NMPi) and can be downloaded from Scottish Spatial Data Infrastructure MetaData portal8.

The development stages for the GIS layers are summarised in Fig 1, with a sample from one of the final output layers shown in Fig 1d.

### 3. Applications for layers

The GIS layers showing the intensity of fishing associated with individual pipeline sections have multiple applications for pipeline decommissioning and management. They provide an evidence base for: (1) policy decisions and regulatory guidelines; (2) planning and executing pipeline decommissioning; and (3) optimising oil and gas operations prior to decommissioning. The layers are of particular value for evaluating impacts of different decommissioning options with respect to commercial fisheries (and according to different fishing sectors) during the comparative assessment process. For example, the impacts of decommissioning pipelines in situ on commercial fisheries would be lower for sections identified as having little or no interaction over the last nine years. In these instances, other considerations, such as environmental interactions, could be weighted higher in the comparative assessment processes. Conversely, for the pipeline sections that have a consistently high number of fishing
tracks associated with them, it is important that
decommissioning strategies are selected to account
for future fisheries interactions and any snagging
risks posed by material left on the seabed, in consul-
tation with representatives from the fishing industry.

The pipeline-specific fishing intensity data may
also be used to specify the monitoring programme
for pipeline integrity and span development, which
must be initiated following in situ decommission-
ing (Oil and Gas UK, 2013). Pipelines sections with
little or no fishing activity are likely to maintain
their integrity for longer and, as such, could be sub-
jected to a less frequent monitoring regime than
those sections of pipelines that are regularly fished
over. The adoption of such a risk-based approach
to monitoring, informed by the fishing intensity
data, could represent a significant cost-saving to the
industry and regulators.

By making the layers publically available and
accessible to all stakeholders concerned with pipeline
decommissioning and management, the transparency
of decision-making can be improved. The explicit
linkage of fishing intensity data to specific pipe-
lines within layers enables operators to efficiently
extract information pertaining to their own assets
using the standard BEIS (Department for Business,
Energy and Industrial Strategy formerly Depart-
ment of Trade and Industry (DTI)) identification
omenclature that is assigned to all UK North Sea
pipelines. The higher spatial resolution of the data,
compared to intensity data reported at the scale of
ICES statistical rectangles, means that greater con-
fidence can be given to the comparative assessment
process and proposed mitigation for risks to fishers.

The layers provide an opportunity to gain novel
insight into the temporal and spatial variation in
interactions between commercial fishing and
North Sea pipelines (Fig 2), and this could form
the basis of future research. Additionally, the data
could be used to understand the impacts of previous
pipeline decommissioning decisions (if they are
within the available timescale) on commercial fishing
at local and regional scales. This would serve as a guide for future decommissioning decisions and would allow decision-makers to consider the precedents set by individual pipeline decommissioning cases, including the potential consequences of these decisions at the scale of the UK Continental Shelf. For such an assessment, it would be necessary to collate spatial data on previous pipeline decommissioning practices, which are currently disparate and not readily accessible.

The data layers could also be linked with other data relevant to decommissioning decisions, such as predicted or known associations between pipeline sections and marine species, and in particular species of conservation concern, according to physical environmental drivers (e.g. depth or substratum type). This would further improve the efficiency of decommissioning decisions, by providing a single data source for use in the comparative assessment process to evaluate the impacts of decommissioning to the environment and fishing industry.

The methods used in this study are readily applicable to other marine spatial planning considerations beyond pipeline decommissioning, including the planning, installation and decommissioning of offshore renewable infrastructure and power cables. Furthermore, the interpolated VMS tracks could be summarised to provide fishing intensity data at a variety of scales (e.g. ICES statistical rectangles, oil and gas licence blocks, renewable licence areas) according to specific management requirements.

4. Recommended steps for using layers

The following recommended steps are provided to guide users in the extraction of data from the layers.

1. Users should download the data to a desktop GIS application via the Scottish Spatial Data Infrastructure MetaData portal. The data are delivered in two formats: an ESRI ArcGIS layer package (which has pre-formatted symbology incorporated) and a standard shapefile (with no symbology information provided). The data consist of a single layer containing 43,770 polygons (each 1 km²) covering UK and Norwegian pipelines. The geographic coordinate system of the layer is WGS84. The attribute table of the layer contains a pipeline identifier field called ‘PL_No’, a pipeline table field called ‘Operator’ (representing the operator in May 2016) and 40 fields relating to the number of fishing tracks in each polygon according to year and gear type. The ‘AllGear’ field shows the total number of fishing tracks per polygon over the nine-year period. The ‘AllDredge’, ‘AllNep’ and ‘AllDem’ fields show the total number of fishing tracks over the nine-year period for vessels operating dredges, Nephrops trawls and demersal trawls respectively. The number of tracks for each year is given in the remaining fields for each gear category with field names showing ‘AllGear’, ‘Dredge’, ‘Nep’ or ‘Dem’ to represent gear type (all gear types, dredging, Nephrops trawling and demersal trawling, respectively) and a two-digit year code representing the years between 2007 and 2015. A summary of the attribute table fields is shown in Table 2.

2. After adding the layer to a map, users should select their area of interest either by zooming to the area or building an SQL expression with known BEIS pipeline identification codes contained in the ‘PL_No’ field.

3. If the ESRI ArcGIS layer package is used, the polygons associated with each 1 km pipeline section will be colour-coded to show the total number of tracks for all years and all gear types. Polygons shown in yellow have the fewest number of tracks associated with them, while red polygons have a higher number of tracks. The symbology will need to be set manually by the user if the shapefile data format is used. Initial inspection of the
4. The number of fishing tracks associated with pipeline sections of interest can be compared to the fishing patterns for other pipelines in the region (e.g. northern North Sea) to provide context to the data, and determine whether the interactions at the pipeline sections of interest may be considered as high or low relative to regional patterns. This can form the basis of permit/licence applications.

5. It is important to note that the number of fishing tracks that may be considered ‘high’ or as representing a ‘significant interaction’ will depend on numerous factors, including pipeline type, gear type substratum type and burial/exposure status of the pipeline. The fishing intensity data should therefore be considered in consultation with technical experts and pipeline engineers on a case-by-case basis to understand risks to pipeline integrity and rock placement stability from fishing. This is consistent with current practices using lower resolution fishing intensity data.

5. Limitations of data

The risks to pipeline integrity and fishing vessel access and safety (in terms of snagging hazards) occur where pipelines are exposed (permanently or temporarily) on the seabed. Since pipeline burial/exposure data were not available in this study, the current layers are limited in their application to regional assessments of overall fisheries-pipeline interactions. At the time of decommissioning, individual operators will have access to pipeline exposure data, either from historical records or a pre-decommissioning survey, which can be combined with the developed layers to understand existing and future risks of fisheries interactions.

There are a number of limitations associated with VMS data that must be considered in relation to the pipeline intensity GIS layers. Primarily, the method used to differentiate between fishing and non-fishing VMS records relies on speed as a proxy, yet there are several circumstances – including weather, vessel turning, hauling of gear, and travelling near hazards/port – when the speed of the vessel may fall below the designated speed thresholds. A fishing vessel’s speed may also fall below the threshold when conducting ‘guard ship’ duties around oil and gas infrastructure. Guard ships are fishing vessels contracted by operators to patrol infrastructure during periods of installation or construction to prevent interaction with fishing vessels or other marine traffic. This patrolling occurs at slow speeds, and guard vessels maintain VMS transmissions during their duties. Guard ship duties do occur along exposed cables and pipelines, however, the overall contribution to VMS is considered to be minor, with ~14 vessels conducting guard ship duties on any given day (Scottish Fishermen’s Federation, pers. comm.).

The inclusion of VMS records from periods when vessels are operating at low speed, but not fishing, means that for a small minority of trips the total fishing effort may have been overestimated. Despite this overestimate, it can be assumed that the intensity data associated with pipeline sections represent an overall underestimate of the total fisheries interaction.

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Field Name</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PIPE_DT</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Department for trade and industry pipeline identification code</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPERATO</td>
<td>Text</td>
<td>Pipeline operator as of May 2016. One of fifty operators.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All_Gear</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks per 1 km² from vessel operating all gear types between 2007 and 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All_Dem</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks from vessels operating demersal gear per 1 km² between 2007 and 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All_Dredge</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks for vessels operating dredges per 1 km² between 2007 and 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All_Nep</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks for vessels operating Nephrops gear per 1 km² between 2007 and 2015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dem_07… Dem_15</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks for vessels operating demersal gear per 1 km² according to year (2007 to 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dredge_07… Dredge_15</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks for vessels operating dredges per 1 km² according to year (2007 to 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nep_07… Nep_15</td>
<td>Integer</td>
<td>Total number of VMS tracks for vessels operating Nephrops gear per 1 km² according to year (2007 to 2015)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This is because non-UK vessels were not included in the analysis, even though it is likely that a large number also interact with North Sea pipelines while fishing. Access to European-wide VMS data, including logbooks or other gear type information, would be required to provide the overall fishing intensity associated with pipeline sections.

VMS data for EU vessels could be obtained through the Scottish Government’s Fisheries Information Network, but the data only included points when vessels were present within the UK Continental Shelf. This geographical cut-off, along with missing information on vessel heading, meant that the EU VMS data could not be interpolated into fishing tracks using the methods applied to UK data. Furthermore, without access to EU vessel logbooks, gear type could not be assigned to VMS records. Other European VMS, e.g. from Norwegian and Icelandic vessels, could not be obtained through the Scottish Government. Similarly, UK vessels smaller than 15 m are not represented within the current data layers. Since smaller vessels tend to operate closer to shore, the level of fisheries-pipeline interaction will not be accurately represented in coastal areas. When decommissioning sections of pipelines close to shore, operators must consult fisheries representatives and other data sources on inshore fisheries data, such as ScotMap (Kafas et al., 2017).

The inherent error in track interpolation means that it may not represent the true fishing track and this must also be considered when using the data layers. Access to high-resolution plotter data would enable more accurate descriptions of spatial patterns of fishing activities, and centralised initiatives, e.g. the UK Crown Estate Fisherman’s mapping project (Crown Estate, 2010), are currently underway to facilitate access to these datasets. Once such data are available, the resolution of fishing intensity data associated with pipelines could be improved even further.
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