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Abstract: The Culture Collection of Freshwater Microalgae (CCMA-UFSCar, Coleção de Culturas de Microalgas de Água-Doce), based at the Universidade Federal de São Carlos, plays an important role in underpinning Brazilian microalgal research, providing biological materials, substrates and training personnel for a large proportion of the past and current projects in this area. However, recent efforts to expand the culture catalogue/holdings have reached a ceiling because of the logistical practicality of maintaining actively growing cultures. In order to reduce the costs associated with the maintenance regime of cultures, efforts were initiated on the establishment of a cryopreserved biobank for long term maintenance of cultures, thus minimizing the efforts associated with handling of material, as successfully frozen cultures, in theory, could be maintained effectively indefinitely, with the advantage of the stability of cells characteristics. Initial tests were performed on 93 strains including exemplar taxa across the different taxonomic groups in the collection catalogue. The highest levels of success were achieved for the smaller taxa, such as the small green algae; while for the larger and more complex organisms limited to no success was obtained. For the strains tested, over 70% had positive good levels of post-thaw viability and regenerated phenotypically normal cultures.
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Estabelecimento de um biobanco criopreservado para a Coleção de Culturas de Microalgas de Água-Doce (CCMA-UFSCar), São Paulo, Brasil

Resumo: A Coleção de Culturas de Microalgas de Água-Doce (CCMA-UFSCar, Coleção de Culturas de Microalgas de Água-doce – CCMA-UFSCar), localizada na Universidade Federal de São Carlos tem um importante papel na sustentação da pesquisa brasileira em microalgas, provendo material biológico, substratos e treinamento de pessoal para uma grande fração dos projetos atuais nessa área. Entretanto, os recentes esforços para expandir o catálogo de culturas atingiram um limite máximo em praticidade logística para a manutenção de culturas metabolicamente ativas. Buscando reduzir os custos do regime de manutenção das culturas, foi-se iniciado o estabelecimento de um banco criopreservado para a manutenção em longo prazo desses organismos, minimizando os gastos com manuseio do material, visto que culturas congeladas com sucesso podem, em teoria, serem mantidas por prazos indeterminados, ainda com a vantagem da estabilidade das características nas culturas. Testes iniciais foram realizados com 93 linhagens, incluindo organismos de diferentes grupos taxonômicos mantidos na coleção de culturas. As maiores taxas de sucesso foram observadas nos organismos menores, como as pequenas algas verdes, enquanto os organismos maiores e mais complexos obtiveram sucesso limitado. Em geral, para as linhagens testadas, acima de 70% dos organismos apresentaram resposta positiva ao processo.

Palavras-chave: criopreservação, microalgas de água-doce, biobancos.

Introduction

The Culture Collection of Freshwater Microalgae (Coleção de Culturas de Microalgas de Água-doce – CCMA-UFSCar), based at Universidade Federal de São Carlos was the first of its genre to be established in Brazil, in 1977, by Dr. Armando Vieira (Lourenço & Vieira 2004). It is currently the largest microalgae collection in Brazil, holding around 700 strains of freshwater microalgae, mostly isolated from diverse water bodies in Sao Paulo State, Brazil. This collection has been the source of samples for work undertaken by both public and private institutions, across a wide range of academic and biotechnological projects. Furthermore, it has also been responsible for the specialized training of personnel, including researchers which went to initiate other algae culture collections in Brazil. Recently, renewed efforts were concentrated in the expansion of the collections holdings, as part of the BIOTA-Fapesp network.

Traditionally, strains at CCMA-UFSCar were maintained in replicate as metabolically active cultures under suboptimal growth conditions, which must be sub-cultured every 2-3 months (Vieira, pers. comm) to maintain
their viability. These cultures are readily available for further application and distribution; however, for their successful maintenance, conditions must be carefully considered and met, such as the culture medium, light, temperature and the intervals between transfers (Lorenz et al. 2005). Also, species may greatly differ in their needs for growth, with specifications and requirements for their successful maintenance (Brand et al. 2013).

Thus, the maintenance of metabolically active cultures is a laborious activity which requires a well-designed space and dedicated highly trained personnel. This necessitates significant investment in facilities, personnel and consumables for the upholding of the collection. Also, the sub-culturing process carries several risks for the cultures, mostly related to the transferring activities, as it opens possibilities for cross-contamination of samples, mislabeling, or contaminations from outside organisms, which can lead to the loss of strains when not carefully monitored (Brand et al. 2013; Day & Brand 2005; Lorenz et al. 2005), or raise the requirements for their maintenance with the need for re-isolation and decontamination over time. Besides the trained personnel to perform these functions associated with the maintenance of metabolically active cultures, a further requirement is a dedicated infrastructure, with controlled temperature and illumination and sufficient space to allow the further expansion of the collection holdings.

In order to reduce the costs of maintenance and the exposure of strains to sources of contamination and deterioration of samples, cryopreservation is recommended for the long term maintenance of samples in an arrested state (OECD 2007). This type of methodology reduces the routine costs for the culture collection, increasing the capacity of expansion of the holdings while insuring the stability and purity of samples and reducing the risks of contamination during the handling of samples. The recent expansion of the CCMA-UFSCar holdings has increased the volume of work associated with the maintenance of active cultures, which is rapidly reaching unsustainable levels under the current regime in the terms of investment and resource requirements. Furthermore, recently, contamination events by fungus and other organisms have occurred, which in turn increased the workload associate with the collections curation. Therefore, efforts were raised and focused on the establishment of a cryopreserved biobank for the culture collection, in order to secure the collection holdings, which are the focus of much of the research work of the laboratory and source of samples for many research groups in Brazil.

Material and Methods

1. Establishment of the cryobank infrastructure

The CCMA-UFSCar has been established as a culture collection for almost 40 years at its current location, maintaining metabolically active cultures. It is registered at the World database for Culture Collections with the reference WDCM 835. However, cryopreservation efforts were only recently initiated. Thus, adjustments of the laboratory infrastructure were necessary to facilitate the successful implementation of cryopreservation protocols for the maintenance of existing and recently incorporated microalgae strains from the culture collection. The acquisition of the necessary equipment was funded by the FAPESP Thematic Project (2011/50054-4), and included: a controlled rate freezer (CRF) Planer Kryo 360 I.7 (Planer PLC, UK) with associated software which allows the control of the cryopreservation process; an Ultra-Low temperature freezer (Revco Value Series, Thermo Scientific, USA); sample storage dewar SC-47 R (Sempercio, Brazil) and nitrogen transportation dewar SC-60/I (Sempercio, Brazil).

2. Training on cryopreservation techniques

As part of the initial activities of the project, the training on the required techniques was undertaken at the Culture Collection of Algae and Protozoa (CCAP), based at the Scottish Association for Marine Science (SAMS), Oban, UK. The CCAP has over 40 year’s expertise on the maintenance of frozen strains of marine and freshwater algae and protozoa. The training included basic theory and practical protocols for the successful maintenance of strains at ultra-low temperatures, which were further adapted for applicability using the Brazilian laboratory facilities. These experiences were applied for the development of the standard operating procedure (SoP) for cryopreservation of freshwater strains at CCMA-UFSCar.

3. Collection Holdings

CCMA-UFSCar currently holds almost 700 strains of freshwater microalgae, from a wide range of groups. From these, 133 strains are currently maintained in axenic cultures, which are periodically checked for bacterial proliferation using WC medium (Guillard & Lorenzen 1972) modified by the addition of glucose and peptone (250 mg.L⁻¹ each), in a visual analysis. The remaining cultures of the collection are maintained as uni-algal cultures after isolation from environmental samples.

For the initial establishment of the cryopreserved biobank, efforts were focused on the maintenance of the axenic strains, which are currently the main focus of further research at the Phycology Laboratory at UFSCar. The tests included 93 strains of freshwater microalgae, mostly Chlorophyceae (62 strains), but also including organisms from Conjugatophyceae (11 strains), Trebouxiophyceae (7 strains), Cryptophyceae (1 strain), Synurophyceae (1 strain), Xantophyceae (2 strains) and Bacillariophyceae (9 strains). The effects of the presence of a contaminant heterotrophic community within the microalgae cultures on the viability and recovery of post-thaw cultures were also analyzed using 18 strains of non-axenic microalgae.

4. Freezing Protocol

Initially, a commonly employed protocol was applied for all the tested organisms, to establish the main database for the maintenance of cryopreserved organisms at CCMA-UFSCar. For this, a cryoprotectant solution/Cryoprotective Additive (CPA) of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) was prepared in the appropriate culture medium at 10% concentration (v/v). All strains of microalgae were cryopreserved using a two-step, controlled rate cooling protocol as previously described by Day & Brand (2005). Briefly, an aliquot of 0.5 mL 10% (v/v) cryoprotectant solution was added to 0.5 mL of a dense microalgal culture in a cryovial, which was incubated for 15 min at room temperature, out of direct light to ensure the cryoprotectant action. Samples were then transferred to a controlled rate cooler (Planer, UK) at a start temperature of 20 °C. Cultures were then cooled at a cooling rate of -1°C.min⁻¹ to -40 °C, held at this temperature for 15 min and then plunged into liquid nitrogen. Samples were transferred to the local cryostorage facility and three samples of each cryopreserved strain were thawed for viability analysis. Where this conventional approach proved unsuccessful a series of empirical studies were performed to optimize the applicability of the approach to the broader holdings of CCMA-UFSCar (Figure 1).

5. Toxicity of the CPA solutions

Simultaneously to testing the standard freezing protocols, the toxicity of DMSO 5% (final concentration during cryopreservation) was assessed for several strains of microalgae. This test consisted in the mixture equal parts of CPA solution (10%) and microalgae culture, which were incubated for 15 min at room temperature. Aliquots (1 mL) of the samples were then diluted in 10 mL of fresh medium, and maintained to the standard culturing/ incubation conditions of the bank: 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (photosynthetically active radiation), with a 12:12 dark:light cycle and at a temperature of 23 ± 1°C for growth. The viability of these samples was determined analyzing culture growth after 2-3 weeks of incubation under the above regime, using Chl-a fluorescence (see 8).
6. Alternate freezing protocols: analyzing the success rates of dimethylsulfoxide (DMSO) and methanol (MeOH) for the cryopreservation of axenic and non-axenic samples.

The possibility of employing MeOH as an alternative to DMSO for the cryopreservation of 18 strains of microalgae was verified. In this test, the cooling protocol previously described was employed, exchanging the CPA solution from DMSO 10% for a methanol 10% solution, prepared under the same conditions. For this study, viability measurements were performed using vital staining, with erythrosine-b (see 8).

7. Cryopreservation of Chlamydomonas chlorastera: assessment of different cooling rates and cryoprotectant agents.

The initial attempt to cryopreserve Chlamydomonas chlorastera (CCMA-UFSCar 009) with the previously described two-step protocol using DMSO 5% as CPA was unsuccessful, with no recovery of viable algae from the samples. Thus, several adaptations on the cooling rates and CPA employed for cell protection during the process were tested in order to obtain the most successful protocol for the maintenance of this species in liquid nitrogen for long term storage. Combinations of three different CPAs (methanol, DMSO and Glycerol) and four different cooling rates were tested for cryopreservation of a C. chlorastera culture while in exponential growth phase, generating 20 different freezing treatments. The toxicity of the CPA in the samples was also assessed by the exposure of samples to higher concentrations of these compounds, with subsequent dilution to "innocuous" concentrations. The viability of samples was assessed using the absorbance of samples after recovery and monitoring of subsequent growth.

8. Thawing of samples and viability analysis

The stored cryovials were transferred from the cryostore to a small Dewar containing liquid nitrogen. They were then rapidly transferred to a laminar flow cabinet, the outside of the cryovial wiped with 70% (v/v) ethanol, to reduce the risk of contamination by adhering bacteria, caps aseptically removed and using a sterile pipette, the contents (0.5 ml) from each of the three thawed replicate samples were transferred into culture tubes with 10 mL of WC medium to dilute the potentially toxic characteristics of the cryoprotectant. Cultures were maintained for 24-36h in the dark and then incubated under the standard culturing conditions of the bank: 100 µmol m⁻² s⁻¹ (photosynthetically active radiation), with a 12:12h dark:light cycle and at a temperature of 23 ± 1°C. Assessment of viability was performed after 2-3 weeks of incubation under the above cultivation regime, with analysis of the Chl-a fluorescence using a Trilogy Laboratory Fluoremeter (Turner Designs, USA) equipped with a chlorophyll in vivo Module (7200-043).

Alternatively, for the comparison of the efficacy of using DSMO or MeOH as CPA, the viability of samples was assessed using microscopy analysis of samples stained with erythrosine-b (SIGMA). This stain can only permeate dead cells, which will acquire the red color, while the living (viable) cells maintain their natural green color. The viability of samples before cryopreservation was assessed for control and standardization of results. After cryopreservation, 100 µL of the culture were separated in a 200 µL PCR tube, to which 30 µL of a saturated erythrosine solution was added and mixed. After 15 min, samples were analyzed by optical microscopy and the number of cells stained (red) and not stained (green) annotated, (a minimum of 50 cells were counted on each slide). The viability of the samples was calculated dividing the number of living cells (green) by the total amount of cells counted (red+green), multiplying the results by 100 to obtain the percentage. In order to avoid the sub estimation of viability due to the presence of dead cells in the original cultures (prior to freezing), the viability of these samples was also calculated and considered for the final viability results. When applicable, comparisons between treatments with the different CPAs were performed using t-tests to determine the species in which significant differences of viability were observed.
Results

1. Traditional cryopreservation protocol

The applicability of the conventional two-step protocol tested in this project generated a range of results, considering the wide range of groups in the 93 strains analyzed. The distribution of these results has been summarized in Figure 2. The group with the highest success rates i.e. highest levels of recovery after freezing was the Chlorophyceae, with 58 strains successfully cryopreserved (92%). The application of the standard method to the Conjugatophyceae and diatoms was the least successful, with success in 9% and 22% of the strains tested, respectively.

For the Chlorophyceae, the family Selenastraceae was the most intensively studied group, with 44 strains (Table 1). Most species of this group successfully recovered after cryopreservation; however, a few exceptions were observed in strains of Selenastrum braunii and two strains of Kirchneriella. Similar results were observed for the family Scenedesmaceae, where comparably high viability levels after application of the standard cryopreservation protocol were observed, with all the samples tested able to regenerate robust cultures on transfer to fresh medium (Table 2).

Table 1. Viability of samples from the family Selenastraceae (Chlorophyceae) after recovery from cryopreservation protocol.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accession number</th>
<th>Strain</th>
<th>CPA</th>
<th>r ABS (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 003</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus densus</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 005</td>
<td>Messastraum gracile</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 024</td>
<td>Monoraphidium arcuatum</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 047</td>
<td>Selenastrum braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 048</td>
<td>Raphidocelis subcapitata</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 063</td>
<td>Selenastrum braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 083</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus flexuosus</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 123</td>
<td>Kirchneriella aperta</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 125</td>
<td>Selenastrum braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 128</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus densus</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 137</td>
<td>Chlorolobion cf. braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 168</td>
<td>Selenastrum braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 174</td>
<td>Kirchneriella irregularis var. spiralis</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 176</td>
<td>Monoraphidium griffithii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 230</td>
<td>Kirchneriella irregularis</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 234</td>
<td>Kirchneriella irregularis var. spiralis</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 239</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus densus</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 241</td>
<td>Selenastrum braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 278</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus stipitatus</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 306</td>
<td>Monoraphidium contortum</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 325</td>
<td>Monoraphidium pseudobraunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 333</td>
<td>Monoraphidium kormakovae</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 345</td>
<td>Kirchneriella obesa</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 346</td>
<td>Kirchneriella pseudoaperta</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 348</td>
<td>Kirchneriella irregularis</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 349</td>
<td>Monoraphidium contortum</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 350</td>
<td>Curvastrum pantanale</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 353</td>
<td>Monoraphidium kormakovae</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 423</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus bernardii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 447</td>
<td>Kirchneriella contorta var. elegans</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 455</td>
<td>Chlorolobion braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 462</td>
<td>Chlorolobion braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 470</td>
<td>Messastraum gracile</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 476</td>
<td>Chlorolobion braunii</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 478</td>
<td>Raphidocelis subcapitata</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 492</td>
<td>Pseudokirchneriella elongata</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 498</td>
<td>Pseudokirchneriella elongata</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 516</td>
<td>Kirchneriella obesa</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 549</td>
<td>Monoraphidium indicum</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 604</td>
<td>Chlorolobion lunatum</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 606</td>
<td>Monoraphidium irregularis</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 609</td>
<td>Desmodesmus comatus</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 611</td>
<td>Ankistrodesmus fassiformis</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCMA-UFSCar 622</td>
<td>Messastraum gracile</td>
<td>DMSO 5%</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(The analysis was based on the growth rate calculated using the optical density of samples at two different points in time, compared with the control cultures (non-cryopreserved). The symbols and colors indicate the relative success of growth: green – good growth, yellow – medium success and red – poor growth.)
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The bigger and more complex organisms had lower rates of survival, with only one of the desmid strains (Conjugatophyceae) tested demonstrating successful regrowth after the cryopreservation protocol was completed: *Spondylosium pygmaeum* (CCMA-UFSCar 014). The remaining 10 strains of this group had no detectable viability, i.e., they were not able to form new cultures (Figure 2). The experiments with diatoms also had limited success, with only 2 of the 9 strains tested able to regrow after undergoing the cryopreservation protocol (Figure 2).

### 2. Toxicity and cryopreservation effects of CPA on axenic strains

The potential toxicity of DMSO at 5% as a CPA was not a determinant factor for the cryopreservation of most microalgae strains tested. Measurements of Chl-a fluorescence of samples after 2-3 weeks of growth showed similar patterns for samples with and without treatment with DMSO for 15 min, before dilution in fresh medium (Figure 3).

Cryopreservation using DMSO or MeOH as CPA had similar results for the majority of the strains tested (15 strains) (Figure 4A). However, for *K. obesa, K. lunaris* and *P. duplex,* cryopreservation with methanol resulted in slightly better levels of success, although materials subjected to both treatments were able to form new robust cultures after 2 weeks growth.

### 3. Post-thaw viability: non-axenic cultures

Post-thaw viability levels observed for non-axenic cultures were, in general, high and similar to the responses reported for axenic cultures of the same strain frozen employing the same protocol (Figure 4A). Comparisons for the viability of non-axenic strains frozen with MeOH and DMSO as CPA are detailed in Figure 4B. It was noted that for a few strains, mostly of *Kirchneriella* spp., significantly (p<0.05) higher viability was observed with samples treated with MeOH for cryopreservation.

### 4. Cryopreservation of Chlamydomonas chlorastera

For the study on cryopreservation of *Chlamydomonas chlorastera* a total of 20 different combinations of CPA and cooling rates were tested for cryopreservation of an actively growing culture (vegetative cells). The objective was to maximize viability levels, as demonstrated by the ability to re-grow robust cultures that were comparable to control cultures (i.e. not frozen sample(s)). MeOH was found to be an effective CPA, capable of protecting the cells from the freezing damage (Table 3). However, the use of DMSO or Glycerol as CPAs was not effective for the protection of cultures during the process and no re-establishment of cultures was observed for these samples. It was noted that, cultures that were exposed to the CPA for a short period of time before dilution and subsequent incubation under standard conditions showed no inhibitory effects that could be attributed to these compounds at the concentrations tested. This led to the conclusion that the use of MeOH as a CPA is a viable option for cryopreservation of non-axenic freshwater microalgae.
Figure 3. Comparison of growth (Chl-a fluorescence) between samples untreated (Control) and treated (DMSO 5%) with CPA and incubated for 15 min before dilution in fresh medium. The cultures were grown for 2-3 weeks.

Figure 4. Viability (%) of samples cryopreserved using different CPAs (DMSO and MeOH) for protection of the cultures. A – axenic cultures and B – non-axenic cultures. * = statistically significant differences in recovery after cryopreservation with MeOH or DMSO (p<0.05).
that the damage happened during the freezing/thawing of cultures and that these compounds had little or no colligative cryoprotective effect for this alga under the cooling regime employed.

Discussion

1. CPA: toxicity & protective effect

The cryopreservation process results in a range of complex physical, biophysical and chemical changes in both the cells being cryopreserved and their immediate environment, thus careful consideration is required in order to achieve the highest post-thaw viability for samples (Day & Fleck 2015). One critical point for the success of the process is the addition of a cryoprotective additive (CPA), which is almost always necessary for organisms to survive the lethal consequences of the freezing/thawing process (Doebbler 1966). Penetrative CPAs, such as MeOH and DMSO can rapidly permeate cell membranes, which in addition to their colligative capabilities of keeping salts in solution, affect the ice crystal nucleation by forming strong hydrogen bonds with water molecules (Weng et al. 2011; Yu & Quinn 1994). However, altering the solute composition and concentration might have extremely toxic effects, inhibiting the growth of cultures (Hubalek 2003; Yu & Quinn 1994).

The basic two-step cryopreservation protocol tested for the CCMA-UFSCar microalgae required the exposure of cultures for a minimum 15 min to DMSO 5% concentrations. As observed for the growth of cultures treated with CPAs (CPA control cultures), for most samples no inhibitory effects were observed from this step and cultures maintained their culture formation ability. Indeed, this approach adheres to this recommended use of DMSO concentrations lower than 15% to avoid the effects on microalgae cultures, and to carefully consider the temperature of reaction and time of exposure (Hubalek 2003).

2. Cryopreservation of Chlorophyceae

Over 50% of the CCMA-UFSCar biobank holdings are composed of strains belonging to the Phylum Chlorophyta, of which almost 80% are Chlorophyceae strains, which can be further divided into divided in 20 families. These organisms are the focus of the most recent publications from the research group (Garcia et al. 2017; de Moraes & Vieira 2014; Vieira et al. 2016), more specifically taxa belonging to the order Sphaeropleales and its families Selenastrecaceae and Scenedesmaceae. These groups are composed mostly of small organisms, which can be unicellular, or in many cases form small colonies, and thus present a wide range of phenotypic forms (Garcia et al. 2017). Successful cryopreservation of organisms from these groups was verified employing the classic cryopreservation protocol in this study.

Organisms with reduced size and morphological simplicity are generally expected to be the most tolerant to the cryopreservation process (Day & Brand 2005). The success of the freezing/thawing process is entirely dependent on the equilibrium of water loss by cells, during the cooling process to avoid the formation of intracellular ice, while maintain the necessary conditions for the survival of cells (Day & Fleck 2015; Mazur 1984). The morphological simplicity of these organisms, coupled with their cell wall characteristics help to maintain viability during the process. This was reflected by the high viability levels observed after the freezing, thawing and recovery cycle.

However it was observed that some strains of *Selenastrum chlorastera* had more limited levels of recovery (Table 1) from the process. This demonstrated that even organisms very closely related may have specific requirements to ensure the complete success of the protocol (Brand et al. 2013). This was also the case observed for some strains of *Kirchneriella*, specifically *K. aperta* and *K. pseudopaperta*, where somewhat limited success was observed on application of the standard two-step cryopreservation protocol using DMSO as CPA. *Kirchneriella pseudoaperta* (CCMA-UFSCar 346) when further tested demonstrated that the ability to form new cultures was maintained; however, the lag phase of the growth curve was a few days longer (data not shown). Furthermore, a change in the protocol, i.e. employing MeOH as CPA during the two-step cryopreservation protocol, resulted in slightly better recovery of viability (Figure 4). Once again demonstrating the specific requirements which must be met for each culture to be cryopreserved. However, for most strains tested, no significant (p<0.05) differences were found in the protection provided by the CPAs tested (Figure 3), which can make them interchangeable for these organisms, giving priority to other aspects to be considered for the long term maintenance of frozen microalgae.

The post-thaw viability levels obtained for the non-axenic strains tested were generally high (Figure 4B) and directly comparable to their axenic counterparts. For *Kirchneriella obea, K. pseudoaperta* and *C. guanense*, viability was higher for samples cryopreserved using MeOH as CPA (p>0.05), rather than DMSO. The process of cryopreservation of non-axenic strains, beyond the viability of samples assessed immediately after the freezing/thawing cycle, must consider the ability of samples to recover and overcome competition with co-culture organisms. The propagation of the heterotrophic associated community, thus, may lead to inhibition of microalgae growth (Day & Harding 2008). The choice of CPA, although with limited effect on the viability of samples post-thaw, had direct effect on the recovery of robust cultures of microalgae of non-axenic strains. The utilization of MeOH as cryoprotectant during this process might be problematic, as although it results in higher viability rates as demonstrated for some strains of *Kirchneriella* (Figure 4B), it also favors the proliferation of bacteria, verified by the opacity developed in the medium (data not shown), which could inhibit the development of the microalgae culture (Amaral et al. 2013).

3. Cryopreservation of desmids (Conjugatophyceae) and diatoms (Bacillariophyceae)

The organisms belonging to the Classes Conjugatophyceae (desmids) and Bacillariophyceae (diatoms) were some of the most recalcitrant groups for the protocols tested, with over 75% of the strains showing no post-thaw viability of samples. These groups have already been described as problematic for the maintenance in liquid nitrogen, with very few positive results for post-thaw viability (Day 2007). Algae with larger cell sizes and most filamentous algae still cannot be cryopreserved yet, and the higher rates of success were found for smaller, morphologically non-complex algae (Day & Brand 2005).

Successful cryopreservation protocols are known to reduce osmotic stress, cold shock and potential damage by ice formation, highlighting the importance of the cooling regime (Day et al. 2000) and must be usually empirically determined for the more sensitive strains (Taylor & Fletcher 1998). In a study with two strains of *Micrasterias*, Morris et al. (1986) reported that the cell walls did not contract to accompany the shrinkage of the proplast, which led to the plasmolysis. This was not observed in similar studies with *Cylindrocystis brebissonii*, emphasizing the importance of cell volume and surface area, cell wall composition, as well as interactions between the wall and cell membrane, and their influence on the cryopreservation process (Morris et al. 1986).

4. Cryopreservation of Chlamydomonas chlorastera: a protocol study

The initial negative results for cryopreservation of *Chlamydomonas chlorastera* (CCMA-UFSCar 009) using DMSO as CPA in a two-step protocol indicated that further research was necessary for the successful long term maintenance of this strain. Thus, tests were performed using...
MeOH 5% and Glycerol 5%, as well as different cooling rates in order to obtain the highest viability results for these organisms. Although the cooling rates tested were not relevant for this algal group, with the exception of the direct plunging of samples in liquid nitrogen, which was lethal independently of CPA, differences were found between CPAs, with MeOH being the only effective compound for the cryopreservation of this organism. Similar results were previously reported for tests with *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii* (Crutchfield et al. 1999; Scarbrough & Wirschell 2016; Yang & Li 2016).

Neither of the CPAs tested had direct inhibitory effects on the growth of *C. chlorastera*, which leads to the indication of lethal damaging occurring at some point during the freezing/thawing of samples. Indeed, Yang and Li (2016) described, in tests with *C. reinhardtii*, that methanol was effective in attenuating the degradation of membrane lipids during the process, results that were not replicated with DMSO. As the complexity of the organisms to be maintained in liquid nitrogen storage increases, specific tests of protocol are necessary to reach the most adequate protocol, to avoid cryo-injury and other damaging effects of this stressful process for cultures.

5. Consequences of a cryopreserved biobank: perspectives for CCMA-UFSCar

The necessity for the establishment of a cryopreserved biobank is linked to several practical considerations including: the reduction of costs associated with routine transfers and the space necessary for the maintenance of cultures, the reduced risks of contamination and the maintenance of genetic integrity (Brand et al. 2013). Thus, it is recommended that culture collections/ Biological Resource Centers should maintain most of their holdings in cryopreserved stocks (OECD 2007), to avoid the occurrence of genetic mutations, which will directly alter the characteristics of the cultures (Brand et al. 2013; Grout 1995). This is specifically true for strains of high commercial or scientific value, as only cryopreservation has the potential to guarantee their long term stability, including their potential to produce biotechnologically interesting products (Day 2004; Hipkin et al. 2014). CCMA-UFSCar microalgae are distributed as the starting point for research projects throughout Brazil, and much data can be found on the importance and applicability of the maintained strains. Thus, the guaranteed stability offered by cryopreservation can provide insurance for the continuity of academic activities and possible applications of microalgal-derived compounds. Furthermore, as the collection is part of a laboratory in a Federal Institution, and not individually institutionalized, the maintenance personnel are mostly undergraduate and post-graduate students, who have to work in tandem with the development of their own research projects. Thus, the reduction of the cost and effort necessary for the constant sub-culturing is essential for the possibility of expansion of the culture holdings which was a result of the FAPESP Project efforts on the study of Brazilian biodiversity. Also, for the maintenance of cryopreserved stocks in liquid nitrogen dewars, the space required is reduced, another positive point in a university laboratory.

The positive results obtained for the initial pilot study on establishment of a cryopreserved biobank for CCMA-UFSCar illustrated the feasibility of introducing these practices for microalgal culture collections in Brazil, successfully conserving the largest part of the collection stocks, which as currently small unicellular organisms. However, it must be noted that some strains of microalgae are apparently truly cryopreservation-recalcitrant due to the nature of their susceptibility to injury (Day 2004). Also, some organisms require the dedicated studies in order to obtain the highest viability rates, due to specificities in their biochemical composition and physical cell characteristics. Therefore the success observed using the protocols outlined in this study, whilst important, shows that a conventional cryopreservation protocol cannot be applied to the full range of algal taxa held in the collection and further developmental work is needed to maximize the applicability of this approach to algal curation at CCMA-UFSCar.
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