

UHI Research Database pdf download summary

Kinetic and metabolic isotope effects in coral skeletal carbon isotopes: A re-evaluation using experimental coral bleaching as a case study

Schoepf, Verena; Levas, Stephen J.; Rodrigues, Lisa J.; McBride, Michael O.; Aschaffenburg, Matthew D.; Matsui, Yohei; Warner, Mark E.; Hughes, Adam D.; Grottoli, Andrea G.

Published in:
Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta

Publication date:
2014

The re-use license for this item is:
CC BY

The Document Version you have downloaded here is:
Early version, also known as pre-print

The final published version is available direct from the publisher website at:
[10.1016/j.gca.2014.09.033](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.09.033)

[Link to author version on UHI Research Database](#)

Citation for published version (APA):
Schoepf, V., Levas, S. J., Rodrigues, L. J., McBride, M. O., Aschaffenburg, M. D., Matsui, Y., Warner, M. E., Hughes, A. D., & Grottoli, A. G. (2014). Kinetic and metabolic isotope effects in coral skeletal carbon isotopes: A re-evaluation using experimental coral bleaching as a case study. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 146, 164-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gca.2014.09.033>

General rights

Copyright and moral rights for the publications made accessible in the UHI Research Database are retained by the authors and/or other copyright owners and it is a condition of accessing publications that users recognise and abide by the legal requirements associated with these rights:

- 1) Users may download and print one copy of any publication from the UHI Research Database for the purpose of private study or research.
- 2) You may not further distribute the material or use it for any profit-making activity or commercial gain
- 3) You may freely distribute the URL identifying the publication in the UHI Research Database

Take down policy

If you believe that this document breaches copyright please contact us at RO@uhi.ac.uk providing details; we will remove access to the work immediately and investigate your claim.

1 **KINETIC AND METABOLIC ISOTOPE EFFECTS IN CORAL SKELETAL CARBON**
2 **ISOTOPES: A RE-EVALUATION USING EXPERIMENTAL CORAL BLEACHING AS**
3 **A CASE STUDY**
4
5

6 Verena Schoepf ^{a,*},¹, Stephen J. Levas ^{a,2}, Lisa J. Rodrigues ^b, Michael O. McBride ^a,
7 Matthew D. Aschaffenburg ^c, Yohei Matsui ^a, Mark E. Warner ^c, Adam D. Hughes ^{a,3}, and
8 Andréa G. Grottoli ^a
9

10
11 ^a School of Earth Sciences, The Ohio State University, 125 South Oval Mall, Columbus, OH
12 43210, USA

13 ^b Department of Geography and the Environment, Villanova University, 800 Lancaster Avenue,
14 Villanova, PA 19085, USA

15 ^c School of Marine Science and Policy, University of Delaware, 700 Pilottown Rd, Lewes, DE
16 19958, USA

17
18 * Corresponding author. Tel.: +61 8 6488-6767.

19 E-mail address: verena.schoepf@uwa.edu.au
20

21 ¹ Present address: Australian Research Council Centre of Excellence for Coral Reef Studies,
22 School of Earth and Environment, The University of Western Australia, 35 Stirling Highway,
23 Crawley, WA 6009, Australia

24 ² Present address: Department of Geography and the Environment, Villanova University, 800
25 Lancaster Avenue, Villanova, PA 19085, USA

26 ³ Present address: Scottish Association for Marine Science, Scottish Marine Institute, Oban,
27 Argyll, PA37 1AQ, United Kingdom
28
29

ABSTRACT

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54

Coral skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ can be a paleo-climate proxy for light levels (i.e., cloud cover and seasonality) and for photosynthesis to respiration (P/R) ratios. The usefulness of coral $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ as a proxy depends on metabolic isotope effects (related to changes in photosynthesis) being the dominant influence on skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$. However, it is also influenced by kinetic isotope effects (related to calcification rate) which can overpower metabolic isotope effects and thus compromise the use of coral skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ as a proxy. Heikoop et al. (2000) proposed a simple data correction to remove kinetic isotope effects from coral skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, as well as an equation to calculate P/R ratios from coral isotopes. However, despite having been used by other researchers, the data correction has never been directly tested, and isotope-based P/R ratios have never been compared to P/R ratios measured using respirometry. Experimental coral bleaching represents a unique environmental scenario to test this because bleaching produces large physiological responses that influence both metabolic and kinetic isotope effects in corals. Here, we tested the $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ correction and the P/R calculation using three Pacific and three Caribbean coral species from controlled temperature-induced bleaching experiments where both the stable isotopes and the physiological variables that cause isotopic fractionation (i.e., photosynthesis, respiration, and calcification) were simultaneously measured. We show for the first time that the data correction proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000) does not effectively remove kinetic effects in the coral species studied here, and did not improve the metabolic signal of bleached and non-bleached corals. In addition, isotope-based P/R ratios were in poor agreement with measured P/R ratios, even when the data correction was applied. This suggests that additional factors influence $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$, which are not accounted for by the data correction. We therefore recommend that the data correction not be routinely applied for paleo-climate reconstruction, and that P/R ratios should only be obtained by direct measurement by respirometry.

1. INTRODUCTION

The analysis of stable carbon and oxygen isotopes of coral skeletal carbonate are powerful tools that have significantly advanced our understanding of past climate. Coral skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$) has been established as a proxy for light levels or cloud cover, seasonality, and nutrient/zooplankton levels (e.g. Fairbanks and Dodge, 1979; Gagan et al., 1994; Grotoli, 1999, 2002; Grotoli and Wellington, 1999; Swart et al., 1996b), whereas coral skeletal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$) records sea surface temperature (SST) and salinity (SSS) (e.g. Druffel, 1997; Fairbanks and Dodge, 1979; Gagan et al., 1994; Grotoli and Eakin, 2007; Swart et al., 1996a). However, coral aragonite does not precipitate in equilibrium with the isotopic composition of seawater, and is typically depleted in both $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ relative to seawater (McConnaughey, 1989a; Swart, 1983) (Fig. 1). Two patterns of isotopic disequilibrium are common in biological carbonates: (1) metabolic isotope effects related to photosynthesis and respiration, which modulate the isotopic composition of the internal dissolved inorganic carbon (DIC) pool from which carbonate is precipitated (McConnaughey, 1989a; McConnaughey et al., 1997; Swart, 1983), and (2) kinetic isotope effects related to CO_2 hydration and hydroxylation during calcification (McConnaughey, 1989a, 1989b).

In tropical scleractinian corals, metabolic isotope effects are thought to dominate coral $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$, which allows for their use as proxies for light levels or cloud cover, seasonality, and nutrient/zooplankton levels (e.g. Fairbanks and Dodge, 1979; Gagan et al., 1994; Grotoli, 1999, 2002; Grotoli and Wellington, 1999; Swart et al., 1996b). However, given the common presence of symbiotic dinoflagellates (*Symbiodinium* spp.) in tropical corals, rapid calcification resulting from this symbiosis (Gattuso et al., 1999; Goreau and Goreau, 1959) also favors strong kinetic effects that may mask underlying metabolic effects (Allison et al., 1996; Cohen and Hart, 1997; Heikoop et al., 2000; McConnaughey, 1989a, 1989b). Thus, coral $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ can be challenging to use as climate proxy.

While metabolic isotope effects influence only carbon isotopic composition, kinetic isotope effects influence both carbon and oxygen isotopic composition (McConnaughey, 1989a). Generally, kinetic isotope effects result in the simultaneous depletion of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ in an

84 approximate ratio of 1:3 (McConnaughey, 1989a). As a consequence, a strong correlation
85 between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ (due to their simultaneous depletion) can be used as a diagnostic tool to
86 detect the presence of strong kinetic effects (McConnaughey, 1989a). In contrast, the presence of
87 metabolic isotope effects is indicated by the lack of a strong correlation between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$
88 (McConnaughey, 1989a). These characteristic relationships between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ have been
89 used to propose a simple data correction that removes kinetic isotope effects and reveals
90 potentially hidden metabolic effects in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ signature (Heikoop et al., 2000). Assuming that
91 all corals in a study are grown under the same environmental conditions, variability in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$
92 should only be caused by kinetic isotope effects and can be used to remove variability in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$
93 that is due to kinetic isotope effects (see section 2.4.3 for mathematical details). Any remaining
94 variability in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ would therefore be the result of metabolic isotope effects alone, separating
95 the isotope effect of interest from the “unwanted” kinetic effects.

96 Kinetic and metabolic isotope effects are best assessed when visualized in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$
97 space (Fig. 1). In the absence of kinetic and metabolic fractionation effects, carbonates should
98 precipitate in isotopic equilibrium with seawater (Fig. 1). Both metabolic isotope effects and
99 kinetic isotope effects (KIE hereafter) cause significant offsets from isotopic equilibrium. Given
100 the depletion of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ in an approximate ratio of 1:3 (McConnaughey, 1989a), corals
101 typically plot along or parallel to the KIE line when kinetic isotope effects dominate (Fig. 1).
102 Faster growing corals are expected to plot further away from isotopic equilibrium composition
103 than slower growing corals due to more pronounced KIE effects (Fig. 1) (Allison et al., 1996;
104 McConnaughey, 1989a). In contrast, metabolic isotope effects cause offsets from the KIE line
105 towards both more enriched and more depleted $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ (Fig. 1). This is because photosynthesis
106 enriches the internal DIC pool from which the skeleton is precipitated as photosynthesis
107 preferentially removes ^{12}C , whereas respiration leads to the incorporation of isotopically depleted
108 metabolic C. Generally, photosynthesis affects $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ more strongly (up to 11‰) than respiration
109 (about 1.5‰) because symbiotic corals calcify mainly during the day when photosynthetic CO_2
110 uptake is several times faster than respiratory CO_2 release (McConnaughey, 1989a;
111 McConnaughey et al., 1997). Since high photosynthesis rates are generally related to high

112 calcification rates, fast growing healthy corals are expected to plot towards more enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$
113 and more depleted $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ values, respectively (Fig. 1).

114 The data correction proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000) has been used to improve correlation
115 of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ with environmental variables (Heikoop et al., 2000) and as a correction for kinetic
116 isotope effects in core records (Ourbak et al., 2008). Beyond its implications for paleo-climate
117 reconstruction, the concept of metabolic and kinetic isotope effects is also a valuable tool to
118 detect changes in coral metabolism, the degree of auto- vs. heterotrophy, and changes in
119 calcification rates. They can thus be used to infer ecological and physiological plasticity in corals
120 (Maier et al., 2003), and to trace physiological changes during a variety of environmental
121 scenarios, including coral bleaching (Suzuki et al., 2003), haze events (Risk et al., 2003), and
122 different water flow conditions (Suzuki et al., 2008). Further, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ has been used to estimate the
123 ratio of photosynthesis to respiration (P/R ratio) based on where corals plot in the space of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$
124 vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ (Maier et al., 2003) or based on calculations using coral skeletal and tissue isotopes
125 (Heikoop et al., 2000; Kaandorp et al., 2005; Lesser et al., 2010; Maier, 2004). However,
126 isotope-based P/R ratios have never been compared to ratios measured directly by respirometry.
127 Therefore, it is unknown if they are reliable proxies for P/R ratios in corals.

128 Although some have challenged McConnaughey's model of metabolic and kinetic
129 isotope effects (e.g. Adkins et al., 2003), it is generally widely accepted and has been supported
130 and applied by many studies (Allison et al., 1996; Cohen and Hart, 1997; Heikoop et al., 2000;
131 e.g. McConnaughey, 1989b; Omata et al., 2005; Omata et al., 2008; Suzuki et al., 2003; Suzuki
132 et al., 2005). However, the data correction proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000) has never been
133 tested using controlled culturing experiments where the extent of both isotope effects is
134 quantified by simultaneous measurement of the physiological variables that cause fractionation
135 (i.e., photosynthesis, respiration, and calcification) and the paired skeletal stable isotopes values.
136 More specifically, such a comparison is needed to determine if (1) the data correction proposed
137 by Heikoop et al. (2000) effectively removes kinetic isotope effects, (2) the data correction can
138 be applied to a wide range of coral species under a range of environmental scenarios that
139 influence both metabolic and kinetic isotope effects, and (3) isotope-based P/R ratios are reliable
140 proxies for P/R ratios measured by respirometry.

141 One such environmental scenario that affects both metabolic and kinetic isotope effects is
142 coral bleaching, which is largely caused by periods of elevated seawater temperature (Baker et
143 al., 2008; Brown, 1997; e.g. Glynn, 1996; Hoegh-Guldberg, 1999). During bleaching, corals lose
144 significant amounts of their algal endosymbionts and/or photosynthetic pigments (e.g. Fitt et al.,
145 2001; Hoegh-Guldberg and Smith, 1989; Jokiel and Coles, 1990), which renders them pale or
146 “bleached” in appearance. This can result in dramatic declines in photosynthesis (e.g. Grottoli et
147 al., 2006; Levas, 2012; Porter et al., 1989; Rodrigues and Grottoli, 2007), thus causing changes
148 in metabolic isotope effects. Further, calcification rates of bleached corals are often reduced
149 (Allison et al., 1996; Levas et al., 2013; e.g. Porter et al., 1989; Rodrigues and Grottoli, 2006;
150 Schoepf, 2013), thus affecting kinetic isotope effects. In $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ space, bleached corals
151 are expected to plot closer to the KIE line than healthy corals due to reduced photosynthesis, and
152 also closer to equilibrium as calcification rates are often compromised (Fig. 1). However,
153 bleached corals do not always show this expected trend (i.e., a decrease in $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$) (Hartmann et
154 al., 2010; Leder et al., 1991; Levas, 2012; Rodrigues and Grottoli, 2006), which is potentially
155 due to strong kinetic effects masking changes in metabolism. The application of a data correction
156 to remove kinetic isotope effects (Heikoop et al., 2000) might therefore reveal the masked
157 metabolic isotope effects, and thus improve accuracy and interpretation of skeletal isotopes in
158 bleached corals.

159 Here, we present physiological and isotopic data from a controlled bleaching experiment
160 using three Caribbean coral species. In addition, we reanalyzed previously published data from
161 the bleaching experiments of Rodrigues and Grottoli (2006) and Levas et al. (2013) to include
162 three Pacific coral species in the dataset. In these bleaching experiments, corals were either
163 bleached by exposure to elevated seawater temperature for 2.5 – 4 weeks or were kept at ambient
164 temperatures as controls. Pacific corals were exposed to elevated temperature once, whereas
165 Caribbean corals were repeat bleached by exposing them to elevated temperature in two
166 consecutive summers. This was done to assess any potential effects of frequent thermal stress on
167 coral isotopes, which could have implications for the reconstruction of past bleaching events
168 from coral skeletons. Short and long term recovery was assessed over 8-11 months, and P/R
169 ratios were measured in addition to their tissue (animal host and algal endosymbiont) and

170 skeletal isotopes at relevant time points. A suite of other physiological measurements were
171 performed on these corals (Aschaffenburg, 2012; Levas et al., 2013; McGinley, 2012; Rodrigues
172 and Grottoli, 2006, 2007; Rodrigues et al., 2008; Schoepf, 2013), providing a rich background of
173 physiological information within which to interpret our findings. Although the original P and R
174 rates and isotopic data of Rodrigues and Grottoli (2006) and Levas et al. (2013) are published,
175 they have never been transformed using the data correction proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000),
176 and isotope-based P/R ratios were never calculated based on tissue and skeletal isotopes in these
177 studies. Therefore, the re-analyzed data presented here will provide novel insight into the nature
178 of metabolic and kinetic isotope effects in bleached and non-bleached Pacific coral species.
179 Further, the incorporation of three Pacific coral species into our dataset allowed for a rigorous
180 testing of the proposed hypotheses across six coral species originating from two ocean basins.

181 We hypothesize that (1) the correlation between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ and animal host tissue $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$)
182 improves when $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ values are corrected ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{corr}}$) according to Heikoop et al. (2000), and (2)
183 as photosynthesis and calcification decline with bleaching, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{corr}}$ values move towards the
184 upper left quadrant in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ space. Further, we hypothesize that isotope-based
185 calculated P/R ratios (Heikoop et al., 2000; Kaandorp et al., 2005) are significantly correlated
186 with P/R ratios measured by respirometry. If these hypotheses are supported, the correction
187 proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000) could be routinely applied to paleo-climate reconstruction and
188 improve the accuracy of coral proxy records. With improved metabolic signals in bleached
189 corals, the reconstruction of past bleaching events from coral skeletons may be more effective.
190 Finally, isotope-based P/R ratios could be used to infer coral metabolism in mesophotic
191 environments, where respirometry cannot be easily performed. Overall, this approach of
192 combined physiological and isotopic analyses should significantly promote the understanding of
193 the functional processes underlying isotopic proxy signals in coral skeletons.

194

195 2. MATERIAL AND METHODS

196

197 2.1 Hawaii Bleaching Experiments

198

199 A detailed description of the first bleaching experiment in Hawaii can be found in
200 Rodrigues and Grottoli (2006). Briefly, coral fragments from branching *Porites compressa* and
201 branching *Montipora capitata* were collected from Point Reef, Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii
202 (21°26.18'N; 157°47.56'W) in late August 2003 from 2 m depth. After allowing them to
203 acclimate for two weeks, half of all fragments were placed in shaded outdoor tanks with ambient
204 seawater (26.8°C ± 0.04 SE) (non-bleached controls), while the other half were placed in tanks
205 with elevated temperature seawater (30.1°C ± 0.05 SE) (bleached corals) (Fig. 2A). Temperature
206 was gradually elevated over the course of three days. Corals were not fed during the experiment,
207 and inflow pipes were fitted with a 50 µm-filter. To minimize positional effects, corals were
208 rotated within and among tanks of the same treatment daily. After one month, 25% of all
209 treatment and control fragments were collected and frozen for isotopic analyses (= 0 month
210 recovery), whereas the remaining corals were placed back on the reef to recover *in situ* (Fig. 2A).
211 To assess short and long term recovery, a third of all remaining treatment and control corals were
212 collected after 1.5, 4, and 8 months, respectively (Fig. 2A). Photosynthesis and respiration rates
213 were measured at each recovery interval before corals were frozen for isotopic analyses. Coral
214 fragments were stained with alizarin at each recovery interval.

215 A second, similar bleaching experiment was performed in summer 2006 to assess
216 bleaching impacts on mounding *Porites lobata* (Fig. 2B). A detailed description of the
217 experimental design can be found in Levas et al. (2013). Briefly, coral fragments were collected
218 from Sanpan Channel, Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii (21°26.18'N; 157°47.56'W) in August 2006 from
219 10-12 m depth. After allowing them to acclimate for two weeks, half of all fragments were
220 placed in shaded outdoor tanks with ambient seawater (27.5°C ± 0.08 SE) (non-bleached
221 controls), while the other half were placed in tanks with elevated temperature seawater (30.2°C ±
222 0.20 SE) (bleached corals) (Fig. 2B). Temperature was gradually elevated over the course of
223 seven days. Corals were fed freshly caught zooplankton for 1 h at dusk every other night. To
224 minimize positional effects, corals were rotated within and among tanks of the same treatment
225 daily. After 23 days, 25% of all treatment and control fragments were collected and frozen for
226 isotopic analyses (= 0 month recovery), whereas the remaining corals were placed back on the
227 reef to recover *in situ* (Fig. 2B). To assess short and long term recovery, a third of all remaining

228 treatment and control corals were collected after 1, 5, and 11 months, respectively (Fig. 2B).
229 Photosynthesis and respiration rates were measured at each recovery interval before corals were
230 frozen for isotopic analyses.

231

232 2.2 Mexico Bleaching Experiment

233

234 Coral fragments of mounding *Orbicella faveolata* (formerly *Montastraea faveolata*
235 (Budd et al., 2012)), encrusting to mounding *Porites astreoides*, and branching *Porites*
236 *divaricata* were collected in July 2009 from shallow reefs (3-8 m) near Puerto Morelos Reefs
237 National Park, Mexico (20°50'N, 86°52'W). After allowing them to acclimate for 5 days, half of
238 the coral fragments were placed in tanks with ambient seawater temperature ($30.66 \pm 0.24^\circ\text{C}$)
239 (non-bleached controls), while the other half were placed in tanks with elevated temperature
240 seawater ($31.48 \pm 0.20^\circ\text{C}$) (bleached corals) (Fig. 2C). Seawater temperature in the treatment
241 tanks was gradually elevated over the course of seven days. Corals were not fed, but had access
242 to unfiltered seawater. Fragments were rotated daily within and among tanks of the same
243 treatment to minimize any positional effects. After a total of 15 days, temperature in all tanks
244 was returned to ambient levels, and all coral fragments were placed on the back reef to recover *in*
245 *situ* for one full year (Fig. 2C).

246 In July 2010, the bleaching treatment was repeated using the same experimental protocol.
247 All coral fragments that had recovered on the back reef for 1 year were recollected and
248 thoroughly cleaned. All corals that had served as ambient control fragments the previous summer
249 were placed in tanks with ambient seawater ($30.40 \pm 0.23^\circ\text{C}$) (non-bleached corals), while all
250 corals that had been used as treatment fragments were maintained in tanks with elevated
251 temperature seawater ($31.60 \pm 0.24^\circ\text{C}$) (repeat bleached corals) (Fig. 2C). After 17 days, all
252 tanks were returned to ambient temperature levels. During the last days of the repeat bleaching
253 treatment, photosynthesis and respiration rates were measured on one ambient control and one
254 treatment coral fragment of each colony and species (i.e., n=9 fragments per species and
255 treatment) (6-7 August 2010), and were then frozen for additional physiological and isotopic
256 analyses (= 0 month of recovery) (Fig. 2C). All remaining fragments were placed on the back

257 reef to recover *in situ*. To assess short- and long-term recovery from repeat bleaching, one
258 fragment from each colony and treatment was recollected from the reef after 1.5 and 11 months
259 of recovery (Fig. 2C), and then frozen for isotopic analyses. Photosynthesis and respiration rates
260 were not measured at these recovery intervals.

261

262 **2.3 Photosynthesis to Respiration Ratios**

263

264 Net photosynthesis (P) and day respiration (R) rates were measured by quantifying
265 changes in dissolved oxygen by incubating non-bleached and bleached corals in UV-transparent
266 acrylic chambers under light and dark conditions (Coles and Jokiel 1977). Oxygen production or
267 consumption was measured over 10 – 40 min intervals during the day. Net P and day R rates
268 were calculated as $\mu\text{mol O}_2 \text{ min}^{-1}$ and then standardized to coral ash-free dry tissue biomass (for
269 *O. faveolata*, *P. astreoides*, *P. divaricata*, *P. compressa*, *M. capitata*) or surface area (for *P.*
270 *lobata*). P/R ratios were then calculated as follows:

271

$$272 \quad \text{P/R} = (\text{net P} + \text{day R}) / (\text{day R}) = (\text{gross P}) / (\text{day R}) \quad (1)$$

273

274 A detailed description of the P and R measurement methods for the Pacific corals are given in
275 Rodrigues and Grottoli (2007) and Levas et al. (2013).

276 P/R ratios derived from measured P and R values were then compared to P/R ratios
277 calculated from coral skeletal and tissue isotopes (see methods below). Although P/R ratios
278 calculated from isotopes reflect a longer time period (i.e., the most recent growth period) than
279 P/R ratios measured via respirometry, the values are nevertheless comparable because the
280 respirometry measurements capture the cumulative effect of treatment conditions experienced by
281 the coral up to this point. While it would be ideal to perform P/R measurements repeatedly over
282 the growth period represented by isotopes, this was logistically not possible due to the high
283 degree of replication in these studies (i.e., up to three species per experiment and up to nine
284 fragments per treatment).

285

286 **2.4 Isotopic Analyses**

287

288 **2.4.1 Seawater Dissolved Inorganic Carbon (DIC) Isotopes**

289 A total of nine filtered seawater samples from Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii, were collected
290 throughout 2006/07 for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ analyses. They were preserved with anhydrous HgCl. In the
291 laboratory, each sample was acidified on a vacuum extraction line under high-purity helium
292 flow, with the resulting CO_2 gas cryogenically isolated under vacuum, and the DIC concentration
293 was determined (McNichol et al. 1994). The CO_2 from each DIC sample was sealed in Pyrex
294 ampoules and introduced into a Finnigan Delta IV Stable Isotope Ratio Mass Spectrometer
295 (SIRMS) via an automated 10-port inlet. All $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values were reported as per mil values relative
296 to Vienna-Pee Dee Belemnite limestone standard (v-PDB). $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ analyses were not performed
297 for seawater from Puerto Morelos, Mexico. The standard deviation of repeated measurements of
298 an internal standard was $\pm 0.03\text{‰}$ (n = 37).

299

300 **2.4.2 Tissue and Skeletal Isotopes**

301 A detailed description of the isotopic analyses for the Pacific corals can be found in
302 Rodrigues and Grottoli (2006) and Levas et al. (2013), and for the Caribbean corals in Schoepf
303 (2013). Briefly, coral tissue was removed from the skeleton using an airbrush, homogenized, and
304 separated into animal host and algal endosymbiont fractions by centrifugation. The two fractions
305 were then individually transferred onto pre-baked (450°C) GF/F filters and combusted in an
306 Elemental Analyzer coupled to a Finnigan Delta IV SIRMS. For skeletal isotopes, **only** the
307 uppermost layer of the dried skeleton were gently shaved with a diamond-tipped Dremel tool and
308 ground to fine powder **using established methods (Grottoli et al. 2004, Rodrigues and Grottoli**
309 **2006). For branching corals, only branch tips were shaved.** About 80-100 μg of the **untreated**
310 **(Grottoli et al., 2005)** skeletal powder were analyzed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ using an automated Kiel
311 Carbonate Device coupled to a Finnigan Delta IV SIRMS. Samples were acidified under vacuum
312 with 100% ortho-phosphoric acid. The carbon isotopic composition of the animal host ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{h}}$),
313 algal endosymbiont ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{e}}$), and skeleton ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$) were reported as the per mil deviation of the
314 stable isotopes $^{13}\text{C}:^{12}\text{C}$ relative to v-PDB. Skeletal oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{sorig}}$) were reported as

315 the per mil deviation of the ratio of stable isotopes $^{18}\text{O}:^{16}\text{O}$ relative to v-PDB. For both organic
316 and skeletal isotopes, approximately 10% of all samples were run in duplicate. The standard
317 deviation of repeated measurements of internal standards for each dataset can be found in Table
318 S1.

319

320 **2.4.3 Data Correction**

321 Coral skeletal carbon isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$) were corrected ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$) using skeletal oxygen
322 isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$) to remove kinetic effects according to the equation developed by Heikoop et al.
323 (2000):

324

$$325 \quad \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}} = \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}} - (3 * (\delta^{18}\text{O}_s - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{s \text{ average}})) \quad (2)$$

326

327 Here, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{s \text{ average}}$ was calculated individually for each treatment and recovery interval for
328 each species.

329

330 **2.4.4 Carbon Isotopic Equilibrium**

331 Carbon isotopic equilibrium ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{eq}}$) for aragonite was calculated following the
332 precedence of McConnaughey et al. (1997) and Heikoop et al. (2000) using the equation of
333 Romanek et al. (1992):

334

$$335 \quad \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{eq}} = \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}} + 2.7 \quad (3)$$

336

337 For Pacific corals, an average $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ of $+0.12 \text{‰} \pm 0.44 \text{ SD}$ ($n=9$) was measured in Kaneohe
338 Bay in 2006 and 2007 (Table S2), and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{eq}}$ was therefore estimated to be $+2.82 \text{‰}$. For
339 Caribbean corals, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ is unknown for Puerto Morelos and was therefore estimated to be
340 $+1.15 \text{‰}$ based on literature values from other locations in the Caribbean (Grossman and Ku,
341 1986; Maier, 2004; Maier et al., 2010; Moyer and Grottoli, 2011; Swart et al., 1996b; Watanabe
342 et al., 2002). Thus, an average $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{eq}}$ of $+3.85 \text{‰}$ was calculated for Puerto Morelos.

343

344 **2.4.5 Oxygen Isotopic Equilibrium**

345 Two different methods, Grossman and Ku (1986) and Maier (2004), exist in the literature
346 to calculate oxygen isotopic equilibrium in carbonates ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$), where only Grossman and Ku
347 (1986) incorporate temperature-dependent fractionation. To facilitate comparisons across studies,
348 both methods were used in this study. First, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ was calculated using the equation by
349 Grossman and Ku (1986):

350

$$351 \quad (\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}} - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}}) = 4.75 - 0.23 * T \text{ (}^\circ\text{C)} \quad (4)$$

352

353 where $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}}$ are expressed on the same isotopic scale.

354 For Pacific corals, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}}$ of Kaneohe Bay is unknown and was estimated to be
355 +0.4‰ (SMOW) or +0.13‰ (v-PDB) based on values from the global ^{18}O database (Epstein and
356 Mayeda, 1953; Ostlund et al., 1987; Schmidt et al., 1999). Seawater temperature ranged from
357 23.0 – 28.0°C in Kaneohe Bay (Levas, 2012; Rodrigues and Grottoli, 2006), which resulted in an
358 average $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ of -1.24‰ when the temperature of the bleaching treatments were included (i.e.,
359 30.1°C for *P. compressa* and *M. capitata*, and 30.2°C for *P. lobata*). This average was used to
360 calculate the end point of the KIE line in all figures to represent the range of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ across
361 treatments and seasons. However, for all correlation analyses, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ was calculated using
362 $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ values that were computed individually for each treatment and recovery interval, thus
363 taking into account temperature differences due to treatment or season.

364 For Caribbean corals, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}}$ is unknown for Puerto Morelos and was therefore
365 estimated to be +0.85‰ (SMOW) based on literature values from the Caribbean (Leder et al.,
366 1996; Maier, 2004; Watanabe et al., 2002). This value was then converted to v-PDB scale by
367 subtracting 0.27‰ (Schmidt, 1999), resulting in an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}}$ of +0.58‰ (v-PDB).
368 Seawater temperature was monitored using HOBO temperature loggers throughout the study,
369 with an annual range of 25.5 – 30.4°C, resulting in an average $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ of -1.24‰ when the
370 temperature of the bleaching treatment (31.6°C) was included. As for Pacific corals, this average
371 was used to calculate the end point of the KIE line in all figures to represent the range of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$
372 across treatments and seasons. However, for all correlation analyses, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ was calculated

373 using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ values that were computed individually for each treatment and recovery interval,
374 thus taking into account temperature differences due to treatment or season.

375 Second, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ was calculated according to Maier (2004) where $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ equals $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}}$
376 after conversion to v-PDB isotopic scale:

377

$$378 \quad \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}} (\text{v-PDB}) = \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{seawater}} (\text{SMOW}) - 0.27 \quad (5)$$

379

380 This equilibrium value is independent of seawater temperature. For Pacific and Caribbean
381 corals, $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ was therefore +0.13‰ and +0.58‰ (v-PDB), respectively.

382

383 **2.4.6 Isotope-based P/R Ratios**

384 P/R ratios were calculated from skeletal and tissue isotopes according to the following
385 equations (Kaandorp et al., 2005; Maier, 2004):

386

$$387 \quad M_{\text{offset}} = (\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}} - \alpha (\delta^{18}\text{O}_s - \delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}) - \delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{eq}}) \quad (6)$$

388

$$389 \quad \text{P/R} = ((M_{\text{offset}} - \rho) / \rho) / (\delta^{13}\text{C}_e / \delta^{13}\text{C}_h) \quad (7)$$

390

391 where M_{offset} is the metabolic offset from the kinetic isotope effect (KIE) line, α is the slope of
392 the relationship between $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ to $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ which is estimated to be 0.33 based on the
393 simultaneous depletion of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ in an approximate ratio of 1:3 due to KIE effects
394 (Heikoop et al., 2000; McConnaughey, 1989a), ρ is the offset of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ from the KIE line due
395 to respiration which is estimated to be -1.5‰ (Heikoop et al., 2000; McConnaughey et al., 1997),
396 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_e$ is the carbon isotopic composition of the algal endosymbiont, and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ is the carbon
397 isotopic composition of the animal host. Absolute values of ρ were used for calculations.

398 Isotope-based P/R ratios were calculated two ways: once using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Grossman
399 and Ku (1986) and once after Maier (2004). When $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ was calculated after Grossman and Ku
400 (1986), it was calculated individually for each treatment and recovery interval, thus taking into
401 account temperature differences due to treatment or season.

402

403 2.5 Statistical Analyses

404

405 To determine the presence of kinetic isotope effects, correlations between uncorrected
406 original $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ (i.e., $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$) and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ were calculated using Spearman's correlation coefficient
407 (r). Following Heikoop et al. (2000), $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ was considered to be dominated by kinetic isotope
408 effects when the correlation was statistically significant ($p\text{-values} \leq 0.05$).

409 To test the effectiveness of the data transformation in removing kinetic isotope effects,
410 two methods were used. First, using a quantitative approach following (Heikoop et al., 2000),
411 correlations were computed for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$, and for corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ (i.e., $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$) vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$.
412 Since coral tissue isotopes are not affected by kinetic isotope effects associated with
413 calcification, they can be used to assess if the data correction was effective. Thus, a significant
414 correlation between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ would indicate that metabolic isotope effects dominate the
415 corrected skeletal isotope signal, and that kinetic isotope effects were successfully removed.
416 While Heikoop et al. (2000) used whole tissue (i.e., animal host + algal endosymbiont) isotopes
417 for this comparison, we chose to use animal host isotopes as the coral tissue is made up of much
418 more animal host cells compared to algal cells. Nevertheless, both $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_e$ versus
419 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ were evaluated (see Table S3 for correlations with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_e$). However,
420 correlations with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_e$ were generally weaker than those with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ and so were not further
421 evaluated. Correlation analyses were computed (1) for each geographical location pooled across
422 all treatments and recovery intervals, (2) for each species and treatment pooled across all
423 recovery intervals, and (3) individually for each species, treatment, and recovery interval as long
424 as sample size was at least four. The data correction was considered to be effective in removing
425 kinetic isotope effects when (1) the correlation was statistically significant and (2) Spearman's r
426 was higher for $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ compared to $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ (Heikoop et al., 2000).

427 Second, using a qualitative approach, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ was plotted for each species, treatment, and
428 recovery interval in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ space. It was then visually assessed to determine if bleached
429 corals plotted more towards lower photosynthesis and lower calcification rates (i.e., towards the
430 upper left in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ space – see Fig. 1) compared to non-bleached corals, as would be

431 expected based on measured changes in physiology and calcification (Aschaffenburg, 2012;
432 Levas et al., 2013; Rodrigues and Grottooli, 2006, 2007; Schoepf, 2013). This qualitative
433 approach also allows for visualization of how the data correction transforms the original $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$
434 data.

435 Lastly, to compare measured and isotope-based P/R ratios, correlations were calculated
436 for each species for the following comparisons: (1) measured P/R vs. isotope based P/R using
437 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Grossman and Ku (1986), (2) measured P/R vs. isotope based P/R using
438 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Grossman and Ku (1986), (3) measured P/R vs. isotope based P/R using
439 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier (2004), and (4) measured P/R vs. isotope based P/R using $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$
440 and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier (2004). In addition, paired t-tests were computed for the same four
441 comparisons to test if the averages of the measured and isotope-based P/R ratios differed
442 significantly from one another. Treatments and recovery intervals were pooled for each species.
443 For t-test analyses, data were first tested for normality using residual plots and Shapiro-Wilk's
444 test and when necessary, data were transformed to meet the assumption of normality. Bonferroni
445 corrections were not applied because they increase the risk of false negatives (Moran, 2003;
446 Quinn and Keough, 2002).

447 The bleached coral fragments were determined to be fully recovered for a given variable
448 once the average bleached isotopic value no longer significantly differed from the non-bleached
449 control. Since all fragments were exposed to identical conditions, except temperature, during the
450 tank portion of the experiment, any differences in the measured variables between bleached and
451 non-bleached control fragments were due to the experimental temperature effects alone,
452 independent of natural seasonal variation. A total of three outliers (two from *P. compressa*, one
453 from *M. capitata*) were excluded from all statistical analyses but are clearly indicated in the
454 figures. Statistical analyses were performed using SAS software, Version 9.2 and 9.3 of the SAS
455 System for Windows.

456

457

3. RESULTS

458

459 **3.1 Isotope Correlations**

460

461 **3.1.1 All Coral Data Combined**

462 When all of the data were combined, the skeletal carbon and oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$
463 and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$, respectively) of all Pacific and Caribbean coral species plotted parallel to the KIE line,
464 but were slightly offset towards more depleted $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ values or more enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ values
465 (Fig. 3). They were much closer to the KIE line that used oxygen isotopic equilibrium ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$)
466 calculated using Grossman and Ku (1986) compared to that using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated using Maier
467 (2004).

468

469 **3.1.2 Pacific Corals**

470 **Quantitative Assessment.** $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ were highly correlated in all Pacific corals,
471 even when the data set was analyzed by species, and by treatment within species (Table S4A).
472 The only exceptions were *P. compressa* (all corals) and non-bleached *M. capitata* (Table S4A).
473 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ were significantly correlated in five cases, but none of them showed improved
474 correlations when computed with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ (Table S4A).

475 When correlations were calculated by treatment within species at each recovery interval,
476 significant correlations between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ were only observed in four of 22 cases (Table
477 S4B). Furthermore, only three of the 16 cases showed significant correlations between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$
478 and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ (Table S4B). Of these, none of the correlation coefficients improved with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$.
479 However in one case, the correlation with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ was significant even though it was not
480 significant with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ (Table S4B).

481 **Qualitative Assessment.** Figure 4 shows how the relationship of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ versus $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$
482 (Fig. 4A-F) is modified by the data correction to $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ (Fig. 4G-L) in the Pacific corals. In
483 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ vs. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ space, all of the values plotted below both KIE Lines (Fig. 4A-F). When
484 plotted with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$, all of the values plotted below the KIE line using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculations of
485 Maier (2004) (Fig. 4G-L). However, several values plotted above or on the KIE line produced
486 using the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculations of Grossman and Ku (1986) (Fig. 4G-H). In addition, bleached
487 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values at 0 and 1.5 months of recovery were depleted by similar amounts as non-

488 bleached corals (Fig. 4G-L). Further, bleached $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values showed no clear trend associated
489 with longer recovery times, although at 4 and 8 months of recovery they appear somewhat more
490 enriched than values at 0 and 1.5 months of recovery in both *P. compressa* and *M. capitata* (Fig.
491 4H-L). Similarly, bleached $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values did not show any clear trend in their offset from the
492 KIE lines with longer recovery times (Fig. 4H-L).

493

494 3.1.3 Caribbean Corals

495 **Quantitative Assessment.** All Caribbean corals showed significant correlations between
496 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$, even when the data set was analyzed individually by species and treatment
497 (Table S5A). The only exception was non-bleached *P. divaricata* corals (Table S5A). In
498 addition, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ were significantly correlated in 8 of 10 cases, two of which had
499 stronger correlations with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ than $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ (Table S5A).

500 When correlations were calculated by treatment within species at each recovery interval,
501 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ were highly correlated in 8 of 17 cases (Table S5B). Furthermore, only three
502 of the 17 cases showed significant correlations between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ of which only one had
503 a stronger correlation when $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ was used (Table S5B).

504 **Qualitative Assessment.** Figure 5 shows how the relationship of $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ versus $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$
505 (Fig. 5A-F) is modified by the data correction to $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ (Fig. 5G-L) in the Caribbean corals. In
506 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ vs. $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ space, all of the values plotted below both KIE lines (Fig. 5A-F). When plotted
507 with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$, all of the values plotted below the KIE line using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculations of Maier
508 (2004) (Fig. 5G-L). However, several of the *O. faveolata* values plotted above the KIE line
509 produced using the $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculations of Grossman and Ku (1986) (Fig. 5G-H). In addition,
510 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values of repeat bleached *O. faveolata* at 1.5 months of recovery were more depleted
511 than $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ at 0 and 11 months of recovery (Fig. 5H). They were also more depleted than
512 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values of non-bleached corals at 1.5 months of recovery (Fig. 5G). Further, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$
513 values of repeat bleached *O. faveolata* at 0 months of recovery appeared to be less offset from
514 the KIE lines than $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values of non-bleached corals at that recovery interval (Fig. 5G, H).
515 This was, however, not observed at 1.5 months of recovery (Fig. 5G, H).

516 In repeat bleached *P. astreoides*, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values at 1.5 months of recovery were more
517 enriched than values of non-bleached corals at this time interval (Fig. 5I, J). In *P. divaricata*,
518 repeat bleached $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values at 1.5 months of recovery were generally more depleted than at
519 11 months of recovery (Fig. 5L), but no different from non-bleached corals at the same time
520 interval (Fig. 5K). In both species, no clear trend was observed regarding the offset of repeat
521 bleached $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values from the KIE lines at any recovery interval or compared to non-
522 bleached corals (Fig. 5I, K, J, L).

523

524 **3.2 Measured and Isotope-Based P/R Ratios**

525

526 **3.2.1 Pacific Corals**

527 In 8 of 12 cases, measured P/R ratios of Pacific corals were not correlated with any
528 isotope-based P/R ratios (Table S6, Fig. 6). However in *P. compressa*, measured P/R ratios were
529 negatively correlated with isotope-based P/R ratios using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Maier (2004),
530 independent of whether original or corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ was used (Table S6, Fig. 6A, D). In *P. lobata*,
531 measured P/R ratios were positively correlated with isotope-based P/R ratios using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$
532 calculated after Grossman and Ku (1986), independent of whether original or corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ was
533 used (Table S6, Fig. 6C, F).

534 Paired t-tests indicated that isotope-based P/R ratios were significantly different from
535 measured P/R ratios in Pacific corals (Table S7). Despite the significant correlations of measured
536 and isotope-based P/R ratios using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Maier (2004) in *P. compressa*, t-tests
537 indicated that they were significantly different (Table S7). In contrast, t-tests confirmed that
538 isotope-based P/R ratios using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Grossman and Ku (1986) in *P. lobata* were
539 not significantly different from measured P/R ratios (Table S7). Further, isotope-based P/R ratios
540 using corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Grossman and Ku (1986) in *M. capitata* were
541 not significantly different from measured P/R ratios (Table S7), even though they were not
542 significantly correlated (Table S6).

543

544 **3.2.2 Caribbean Corals**

545 Measured and isotope-based P/R ratios were not significantly correlated in any Caribbean
546 coral species, independent of the type of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ and whether original or corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ was used
547 (Fig. 7, Table S8). Similarly, paired t-tests showed that isotope-based P/R ratios differed
548 significantly from measured P/R ratios in the majority of cases (Table S9). However, in both *O.*
549 *faveolata* and *P. astreoides*, isotope-based P/R ratios using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Grossman and
550 Ku (1986) did not significantly differ from measured P/R ratios (Table S9). This was
551 independent of whether $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{orig}}$ or $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{corr}}$ was used. Generally, all isotope-based P/R ratios
552 using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ calculated after Grossman and Ku (1986) resulted in negative P/R ratios in these
553 species (Table S9).

554

555 3.2.3 Influence of $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ Equilibrium On Isotope-Based P/R Ratios

556 Generally, isotope-based P/R ratios calculated using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Grossman and Ku
557 (1986) tended to underestimate P/R ratios in both Pacific and Caribbean coral species, sometimes
558 resulting in negative values, whereas isotope-based P/R ratios calculated using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier
559 (2004) were typically higher than measured P/R ratios (Figs. 6, 7, Tables S7, S9). Further,
560 isotope-based P/R ratios calculated using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ of Grossman and Ku (1986) sometimes resulted
561 in negative P/R ratios, but measured P/R ratios were always greater than zero (Figs. 6, 7).

562

563

4. DISCUSSION

564

565 In the present study, we re-evaluated metabolic and kinetic isotope effects in coral
566 skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ of non-bleached and bleached Pacific and Caribbean corals that exhibit different
567 growth morphologies. We show for the first time that although all coral species showed
568 significant kinetic isotope effects, the data correction proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000) did not
569 improve the metabolic signal from these corals. Further, independent of whether the data
570 correction was used or not, isotope-based P/R ratios differed significantly from P/R ratios
571 measured by respirometry.

572

573 **4.1 Presence of Kinetic Isotope Effects**

574

575 Both Pacific and Caribbean coral species showed highly significant correlations between
576 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ (Fig. 3, Tables S4, S5). While this was independent of morphology,
577 correlation coefficients were generally higher in Caribbean compared to Pacific corals.
578 Significant correlations between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ is consistent with other studies for a wide
579 range of both Pacific and Caribbean corals (Heikoop et al., 2000; Maier et al., 2003;
580 McConnaughey, 1989a; McConnaughey et al., 1997; Omata et al., 2005; Risk et al., 2003;
581 Suzuki et al., 2003; Suzuki et al., 2008). Overall, this indicates that the isotopic signal of the
582 corals studied here showed strong kinetic isotope effects (Heikoop et al., 2000; McConnaughey,
583 1989a; McConnaughey et al., 1997).

584 Theoretically, the observed variability in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ - which was used to remove kinetic
585 isotope effects from $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ (Heikoop et al., 2000) - could have been caused by factors other
586 than kinetic isotope effects, such as variations in seawater temperature, salinity, and seawater
587 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. However, salinity and seawater $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ were identical for both bleached and non-bleached
588 controls throughout all studies, and temperature only differed between bleached and non-
589 bleached controls during the 2.5-4 weeks of the bleaching treatment. Thus, temperature could
590 have influenced $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ at the 0 month recovery interval. However, no environmental effects can
591 account for $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ kinetic isotopic fractionation during any other recovery interval.

592

593 **4.2 Evaluation of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ Data Correction**

594

595 Two methods were used to evaluate the effectiveness of the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ correction. First,
596 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ versus $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ correlations were compared to $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ versus $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ correlations. Second,
597 $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ versus $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ plots of non-bleached and bleached corals were visually compared for each
598 species to determine if measured changes in photosynthesis, respiration, and calcification due to
599 bleaching were reflected in the theoretically expected changes in the isotopic composition of the
600 skeleton.

601 Using the first method, we were able to show that the data correction proposed by
602 Heikoop et al. (2000) to remove kinetic isotope effects was generally not effective in any of the
603 six coral species studied here (Tables S4, S5). Heikoop et al. (2000) provided evidence for the
604 efficacy of the data correction by correlating both original and corrected $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ with whole tissue
605 carbon isotopes as they are not affected by kinetic isotope effects related to calcification. They
606 showed that $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ versus $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{tissue}}$ correlations were stronger in both Pacific and Caribbean
607 corals collected over depth and light gradients compared to the same correlations using original
608 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$. However, our data overwhelmingly showed that for 49 of 53 cases evaluated, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$
609 resulted in no change or weaker correlations with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_h$ than with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{orig}}$ (Tables S4, S5).

610 These results were confirmed using a second, qualitative method of assessment, where
611 plots of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ did not produce results consistent with the measured changes in
612 photosynthesis, respiration, chlorophyll *a* concentrations, and calcification in either Pacific or
613 Caribbean corals (Figs. 4G-L, 5G-L). During the first two months of recovery, bleached corals
614 were expected to have more depleted $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ and more enriched $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ values (i.e., to plot in or near
615 the upper left quadrant) compared to non-bleached corals as photosynthesis, chlorophyll *a*
616 concentrations, and calcification rates were significantly lower in bleached corals in most coral
617 species during this time (Levas et al., 2013; Rodrigues and Grottoli, 2006, 2007; Schoepf, 2013).
618 However, this was not reflected in the $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values as expected. For example in Pacific *M.*
619 *capitata*, chlorophyll *a* concentrations and calcification rates were significantly lower in
620 bleached corals than in non-bleached corals for at least 4 months after the bleaching treatment
621 (Rodrigues and Grottoli, 2006, 2007), yet their $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values were not more depleted and did
622 not show a greater offset from the KIE line than in non-bleached corals (Fig. 4I, J). Similarly, in
623 Caribbean *P. astreoides*, chlorophyll *a* concentrations and calcification rates of bleached corals
624 were significantly lower than in non-bleached corals after 1.5 months of recovery (Schoepf,
625 2013), but their $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values were more enriched than the non-bleached corals at this time
626 point (Fig. 5I, J) – this is the opposite of what is expected from the model proposed by Heikoop
627 et al. (2000).

628 After 8-11 months of recovery, photosynthesis, chlorophyll *a* concentrations, and
629 calcification rates were fully recovered in most species (Levas et al., 2013; Rodrigues and

630 Grottooli, 2006, 2007; Schoepf, 2013). Therefore, bleached corals at this time point were expected
631 to have more enriched $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values and greater offsets from the KIE line (reflecting recovered
632 photosynthesis and calcification) than bleached corals at 0-1.5 months of recovery. However,
633 this was not always the case. For example, in Pacific *P. compressa*, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values of bleached
634 corals at 8 months of recovery were similar to values at 1.5 and 4 months of recovery (Fig. 4G,
635 H). This occurred despite fluctuations in chlorophyll *a* concentrations with significantly lower,
636 the same, and higher chlorophyll *a* concentrations in bleached compared to non-bleached corals
637 at 1.5 months, 4 months, and 8 months of recovery, respectively (Rodrigues and Grottooli, 2007).
638 Similarly, in Caribbean *O. faveolata*, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ values of bleached corals at 11 months of recovery
639 plotted in the same space as their values at 0 month of recovery (Fig. 5G, H), even though
640 chlorophyll *a* concentrations and calcification rates were significantly compromised at 0 month
641 but not at 11 months of recovery (Schoepf, 2013). Thus, both methods used to test the
642 effectiveness of the data correction proposed by Heikoop et al. (2000) demonstrated
643 convincingly that (1) the data correction does not effectively remove kinetic isotope effects, and
644 (2) it does not improve the metabolic signal in bleached corals. This was surprising given that
645 two of the species (i.e., *Porites lobata* and *Porites astreoides*) were the same as those studied by
646 Heikoop et al. (2000), and a third one was the same genus (i.e., *Orbicella* (formerly
647 *Montastraea*)).

648 Several factors may have contributed to the observed differences between the Heikoop et al.
649 al. (2000) and the present study. First, Heikoop et al. (2000) used whole tissue (animal host +
650 algal endosymbiont) $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ for the correlations with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$, while $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{h}}$ was used in
651 the present study because whole tissue $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ was not available. Correlations were evaluated for
652 both $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{h}}$ and algal endosymbiont $\delta^{13}\text{C}$, but the latter correlations were generally even weaker
653 than those with $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{h}}$ for both $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ (Table S3). Second, the small sample sizes
654 available to calculate correlations by treatment within species at each recovery interval may have
655 biased the observed results. However, when $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{h}}$ correlations were pooled by
656 species and treatment, similar results were obtained (Tables S4A, S5A). **Third**, the type of
657 samples selected could also have played a role. Importantly, corals in this study were exposed to
658 elevated temperature resulting in coral bleaching, whereas Heikoop et al. (2000) collected corals

659 across natural light and depth gradients. Specifically, coral bleaching may produce stress-related
660 responses that affect coral isotopic fractionation in unknown ways.

661 While methodological differences between studies may account for some of the observed
662 inconsistencies, the small number of significant correlations between $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$, $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$, and
663 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{h}}$, and the poor agreement with physiological measurements strongly suggest that the
664 assumptions underlying the data correction are not as predictable as previously assumed. This
665 finding is strengthened by the fact that two of the species studied here (one Pacific and one
666 Caribbean) as well as one genus are the same as in Heikoop et al. (2000). The key assumption
667 used for the Heikoop et al. (2000) data correction is that variability in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$ is entirely due to
668 kinetic isotope effects (provided that corals were grown under similar environmental conditions).
669 However, if other factors influence $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$ in addition to KIE, the data correction would not be as
670 effective. For example, it has been shown that linear extension rate can influence $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$ (Felis et
671 al., 2003; Maier et al., 2004). Since it is uncertain whether the primary variable affecting kinetic
672 isotope effects is extension rate, calcification rate, or density (Heikoop et al., 2000), the data
673 correction may or may not account for this discrepancy among different qualitative
674 measurements for coral growth. Further, changes in endosymbiont type may influence $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$
675 (Carilli et al., 2013). Coral bleaching can be accompanied by changes in endosymbiont type (e.g.
676 Jones et al., 2008), and this could have potentially played a role in our experiments. Significant
677 changes in endosymbiont type occurred in all Caribbean coral species (McGinley, 2012), in
678 particular for *O. faveolata* and *P. divaricata*, and may have influenced $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$.

679 Other methods have estimated the relative intensities of metabolic and kinetic isotope
680 effects using a vector approach (Omata et al., 2005; Omata et al., 2008). Although they were able
681 to separate metabolic and kinetic isotope effects, this approach is also based on McConnaughey's
682 isotope fractionation model (McConnaughey, 1989a, 1989b) and is therefore similar to the
683 Heikoop et al. (2000) data correction approach and assumptions. As a consequence, the vector
684 approach does not account for any other potential factors that might influence $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{s}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$.

685 Several authors have challenged McConnaughey's model of kinetic and metabolic
686 isotope effects and proposed that $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{s}}$ offsets from isotopic equilibrium are caused
687 by a biologically-induced pH gradient in the extracellular calcifying fluid (ECF) rather than

688 kinetic isotope effects related to the rate of CaCO₃ production (Adkins et al., 2003; Rollion-Bard
689 et al., 2003). Direct measurements of pH at the site of calcification have confirmed the presence
690 of biologically-induced pH gradients in corals (e.g. Al-Horani et al., 2003; Venn et al., 2011), but
691 the isotopic composition of the calcifying fluid is still a matter of debate (e.g. McConnaughey,
692 2003). If pH-gradients in the ECF indeed affect $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$, any data correction or vector
693 approach trying to isolate metabolic isotope effects would also have to account for pH effects at
694 the site of calcification. Further studies are required to identify the specific drivers of variability
695 in coral skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ to more effectively isolate kinetic from metabolic isotope
696 effects.

697

698 **4.3 Comparison of Measured and Isotope-based P/R Ratios**

699

700 Measured and isotope-based calculated P/R ratios were generally in poor agreement
701 independent of how oxygen isotopic equilibrium was calculated or whether $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ was corrected
702 or not. Further, isotope-based P/R ratios calculated using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Grossman and Ku (1986)
703 tended to underestimate P/R ratios in all coral species, sometimes even resulting in negative
704 values, whereas isotope-based P/R ratios calculated using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier (2004) were
705 typically higher than measured P/R ratios (Figs. 6, 7). This was particularly evident in the
706 Caribbean coral species (Fig. 7, Tables S8, S9), where isotope-based P/R ratios were not
707 significantly correlated with measured P/R ratios in any of the three species.

708 Estimating carbon and/or oxygen isotopic equilibrium values based on literature values
709 may have confounded the isotope-based P/R ratios. Direct measurements of seawater $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ and
710 $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ from Puerto Morelos, Mexico during the study period were not performed, and equilibrium
711 values were estimated based on literature values from other locations in the Caribbean. However,
712 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{DIC}}$ was measured for Pacific corals in 2006/07, and isotope-based P/R ratios were
713 nevertheless in poor agreement with measured P/R ratios. Further, it is possible that the skeletal
714 material that was sampled for isotopic analysis may have reflected slightly different time periods
715 in some of the coral species since alizarin staining was only used for *P. compressa* and *M.*
716 *capitata*. However, agreement between isotope-based and measured P/R ratios was not better in

717 these coral species compared to those that had not been stained. Therefore, it is unlikely that
718 these factors caused the significant differences observed in this study.

719 Although skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ has often been viewed as an indicator of P/R ratios (e.g.
720 Grottoli and Wellington, 1999; Swart et al., 1996b; Swart et al., 2005), this is the first time that
721 the accuracy of calculated isotope-based P/R ratios was tested by comparing them to measured
722 P/R ratios. While the findings of this study clearly demonstrate that isotope-based P/R ratios are
723 not reliable proxies for measured P/R ratios and are significantly affected by the choice of $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$,
724 they may nevertheless be useful to estimate *relative* changes in P/R over extreme environmental
725 gradients. For example, Lesser et al. (2010) calculated isotope-based P/R ratios for *Montastraea*
726 *cavernosa* ranging from 3 to 91 m depth. They found that P/R ratios significantly decreased with
727 depth, and that P/R was greater than 1 up to a depth of 61 m. This relative decrease with depth as
728 well as the transition towards heterotrophy below a specific depth (60 m) is certainly realistic.
729 However, given the findings from this study, it is likely that their reported P/R ratios
730 significantly overestimated P/R because they calculated $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier (2004). As a
731 consequence, the transition towards heterotrophy in their study likely occurred at a depth
732 shallower than 60 m.

733

734 **4.4 Implications for Paleo-Climate Reconstruction**

735

736 Overall, the findings of this study demonstrate that the data correction proposed by
737 Heikoop et al. (2000) did not effectively remove kinetic isotope effects in the Caribbean and
738 Pacific coral species studied here, and that the metabolic effect of the bleaching signal did not
739 improve with the data correction. It is therefore unlikely that the data correction can improve the
740 accuracy of skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ as a paleo-climate proxy or the reconstruction of past bleaching
741 events from coral skeletons, as was suggested by Heikoop et al. (2000). Since both *O. faveolata*
742 and *P. lobata* are mounding coral species commonly used for paleo-climate reconstruction, this
743 is disappointing news. While the data correction may nevertheless be useful in improving
744 correlations of skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ with environmental variables in some species and/or locations
745 (Heikoop et al., 2000), a routine application without evaluation of its effectiveness (Ourbak et

746 al., 2008) cannot be recommended. Further, isotope-based P/R ratios should be interpreted with
747 great caution, especially when seawater $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ are unknown.

748

749

750 ***Acknowledgements.*** In Hawaii, we thank the Hawaii Institute of Marine Biology,
751 Academy of Natural Sciences, Department of Land and Natural Resources Hawaii, P. Jokiel, J.
752 Stimson, L. Bloch, J. Palardy, C. Malachowski, D. Velinsky, O. Gibb, M. Cathey, P. Petraitis, R.
753 Gates, J.A. Leong, J. Flemming, T. Pease, A. Chrystal, D. Gulko, S. Hughes, L. Hurley, F. Lugo,
754 R. Michelli, R. Moyer, C. Paver, and Z. Rosenbloom. In Mexico, we thank R. Iglesias-Prieto, A.
755 Banaszak, S. Enriquez, R. Smith, and the staff of the Instituto de Ciencias del Mar y Limnologia,
756 Universidad Nacional Autonoma de Mexico, in Puerto Morelos for their generous time and
757 logistical support. At The Ohio State University, we thank J. Baumann, T. Huey, D. Borg, E.
758 Zebrowski, and J. Scheuermann for help in the field and laboratory. This work was funded by the
759 National Science Foundation (Hawaii: grants no. 0426022, 0542415, and 0610487, Mexico:
760 grants no. 0825490 and 0825413). All work undertaken in this study complied with the current
761 laws of Mexico and the United States of America.

762

5. FIGURE LEGENDS

763

764

765 **Figure 1. Idealized scheme of kinetic and metabolic isotope effects in $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ vs. $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ space.**

766 KIE marks the line along which kinetic isotope effects occur. Isotopic equil. represents isotopic

767 equilibrium with seawater. Resp. and Photosynthesis indicate the carbon isotopic offset from the

768 KIE line due to respiration and photosynthesis, respectively. Slow Calc. and Fast Calc. refer to

769 slow and fast calcification rates. NB indicates non-bleached, healthy corals, whereas BL

770 indicates bleached corals. Arrows represent hypothetical trends only and do not indicate

771 magnitude of effects or specific $\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$ or $\delta^{13}\text{C}_s$ values. See text for more detail.

772

773 **Figure 2. Experimental design of the three bleaching experiments for the Pacific corals (A)**

774 ***Porites compressa*, *Montipora capitata*, and (B) *Porites lobata*, and (C) for the Caribbean**

775 **corals *Orbicella faveolata*, *Porites astreoides*, and *Porites divaricata*. White rectangles indicate**

776 **time spent in tanks maintained at ambient or elevated temperatures and the average temperature**

777 **of each, whereas grey rectangles indicate time spent on the reef at ambient temperatures.**

778 **Duration of time spent in tanks was approximately 3-4 weeks for the Pacific corals and 2.5**

779 **weeks for the Caribbean corals. Months of recovery refer to time points when coral fragments**

780 **were collected from the reef.**

781

782 **Figure 3. Plot of skeletal oxygen isotopes ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$) vs. original skeletal carbon isotopes**

783 **($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$) for (A) non-bleached and singly bleached Pacific corals, and (B) non-bleached**

784 **and repeat bleached Caribbean corals.**

785 KIE (=kinetic isotope effects) marks the line along which kinetic isotope effects occur. Eq.

786 represents isotopic equilibrium composition based on two different methods to calculate $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$

787 (Grossman and Ku 1986, Maier 2004). Wi and Su represent winter and summer isotopic

788 equilibrium composition, respectively. Resp. and P indicate the carbon isotopic offset from the

789 KIE line due to respiration and photosynthesis, respectively. Slow and Fast refer to calcification

790 rates. NB = non-bleached control, BL = bleached, PC = *Porites compressa*, MC = *Montipora*

791 *capitata*, PL = *Porites lobata* OF = *Orbicella faveolata*, PA = *Porites astreoides*, PD = *Porites*

792 *divaricata*. r = Spearman's correlation coefficient, NS = not significant ($p > 0.05$).

793

794 **Figure 4. Plots of skeletal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$) vs. (A-F) original skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$) and (G-L)**
795 **corrected skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$) for non-bleached and singly bleached Pacific coral species**
796 ***Porites compressa*, *Montipora capitata*, and *Porites lobata* throughout 8-11 months of**
797 **recovery.**

798 ● = 0 month of recovery, ● = 1 or 1.5 months of recovery, ● = 4 or 5 months of recovery, and ●
799 = 8 or 11 months of recovery. NB = non-bleached control, BL = singly bleached. slow and fast
800 refer to calcification rates. – = KIE line leading to $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Grossman and Ku (1986), --- =
801 KIE line leading to $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier (2004). Δ = outliers excluded from statistical analyses.

802

803 **Figure 5. Plots of skeletal $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ ($\delta^{18}\text{O}_s$) vs. (A-F) original skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$) and (G-L)**
804 **corrected skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ ($\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$) for non-bleached and repeat bleached Caribbean coral**
805 **species *Orbicella faveolata*, *Porites astreoides*, and *Porites divaricata* throughout 11 months**
806 **of recovery.**

807 ● = 0 month of recovery, ● = 1.5 months of recovery, and ● = 11 months of recovery. NB = non-
808 bleached control, BL = repeat bleached. – = KIE line leading to $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Grossman and Ku
809 (1986), --- = KIE line leading to $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ after Maier (2004). P = carbon isotopic offset from KIE
810 line due to photosynthesis, slow and fast refer to calcification rates.

811

812 **Figure 6. Correlations of measured and isotope-based P/R ratios for non-bleached and**
813 **bleached Pacific coral species *Porites compressa*, *Montipora capitata*, and *Porites lobata*.**

814 Isotope-based P/R ratios were computed with both (A-C) $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ (original P/R) and (D-F)
815 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ (corrected P/R). Further, they were computed using $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ values either after Grossman
816 and Ku (1986) (filled symbols) or after Maier (2004) (open symbols). Dotted line indicates 1:1
817 agreement of isotope-based and measured P/R ratios. Treatments and recovery intervals were
818 pooled for each species. r = Spearman's correlation coefficient when correlation was statistically
819 significant. + = outliers excluded from statistical analyses.

820

821 **Figure 7. Correlations of measured and isotope-based P/R ratios for non-bleached and**
822 **repeat bleached Caribbean coral species *Orbicella faveolata*, *Porites astreoides*, and *Porites***
823 ***divaricata* at 0 month of recovery.** Isotope-based P/R ratios were computed with both (A-C)
824 $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{sorig}}$ (original P/R) and (D-F) $\delta^{13}\text{C}_{\text{scorr}}$ (corrected P/R). Further, they were computed using
825 $\delta^{18}\text{O}_{\text{eq}}$ values either after Grossman and Ku (1986) (filled symbols) or after Maier (2004) (open
826 symbols). Dotted line indicates 1:1 agreement of isotope-based and measured P/R ratios.
827 Treatments were pooled for each species. None of the correlations were statistically significant.
828

6. REFERENCES

- 829
830
- 831 Adkins J. F., Boyle E. A., Curry W. B. and Lutringer A. (2003) Stable isotopes in deep-sea
832 corals and a new mechanism for "vital effects". *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **67**, 1129-1143.
- 833 Al-Horani F. A., Al-Moghrabi S. M. and de Beer D. (2003) The mechanism of calcification and
834 its relation to photosynthesis and respiration in the scleractinian coral *Galaxea fascicularis*. *Mar.*
835 *Biol.* **142**, 419-426.
- 836 Allison N., Tudhope A. W. and Fallick A. E. (1996) Factors influencing the stable carbon and
837 oxygen isotopic composition of *Porites lutea* coral skeletons from Phuket, South Thailand. *Coral*
838 *Reefs* **15**, 43-57.
- 839 Aschaffenburg M. (2012) The physiological response of *Symbiodinium* spp. to thermal and light
840 stress: A comparison of different phylotypes and implications for coral reef bleaching. PhD
841 thesis, University of Delaware.
- 842 Baker A. C., Glynn P. W. and Riegl B. (2008) Climate change and coral reef bleaching: An
843 ecological assessment of long-term impacts, recovery trends and future outlook. *Estuar. Coast.*
844 *Shelf Sci.* **80**, 435-471.
- 845 Brown B. E. (1997) Coral bleaching: causes and consequences. *Coral Reefs* **16**, Suppl.: S129-
846 S138.
- 847 Budd A. F., Fukami H., Smith N. D. and Knowlton N. (2012) Taxonomic classification of the
848 reef coral family Mussidae (Cnidaria: Anthozoa: Scleractinia). *Zool. J. Linn. Soc.* **166**, 465-529.
- 849 Carilli J. E., Charles C. D., Garren M., McField M. and Norris R. D. (2013) Baseline shifts in
850 coral skeletal oxygen isotopic composition: a signature of symbiont shuffling? *Coral Reefs* **32**,
851 559-571.
- 852 Coles S. L. and Jokiel P. L. (1977) Effects of temperature on photosynthesis and respiration in
853 hermatypic corals. *Mar Biol* **43**, 209-216.
854
- 855 Cohen A. L. and Hart S. R. (1997) The effect of colony topography on climate signals in coral
856 skeleton. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **61**, 3905-3912.
- 857 Druffel E. R. M. (1997) Geochemistry of corals: Recorders of past ocean chemistry, ocean
858 circulation and climate. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 8354-8361.
- 859 Epstein S. and Mayeda T. (1953) Variation of O¹⁸ content of waters from natural sources.
860 *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **4**, 213-224.

- 861 Fairbanks R. G. and Dodge R. E. (1979) Annual periodicity of the $^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}$ and $^{13}\text{C}/^{12}\text{C}$ ratios in
862 the coral *Montastrea annularis*. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **43**, 1009-1020.
- 863 Felis T., Paetzold J. and Loya Y. (2003) Mean oxygen-isotope signatures in *Porites* spp. corals:
864 inter-colony variability and correction for extension-rate effects. *Coral Reefs* **22**, 328-336.
- 865 Fitt W. K., Brown B. E., Warner M. E. and Dunne R. P. (2001) Coral bleaching: interpretation of
866 thermal tolerance limits and thermal thresholds in tropical corals. *Coral Reefs* **20**, 51-65.
- 867 Gagan M. K., Chivas A. R. and Isdale P. J. (1994) High-resolution isotopic records from corals
868 using ocean temperature and mass-spawning chronometers. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **121**, 549-
869 558.
- 870 Gattuso J.-P., Allemand D. and Frankignoulle M. (1999) Photosynthesis and calcification at
871 cellular, organismal, and community levels in coral reefs: a review on interactions and control by
872 carbonate chemistry. *Am. Zool.* **39**, 160-183.
- 873 Glynn P. W. (1996) Coral reef bleaching: facts, hypotheses and implications. *Global Change*
874 *Biol.* **2**, 495-509.
- 875 Goreau T. F. and Goreau N. I. (1959) The physiology of skeleton formation in corals. II.
876 Calcium deposition by hermatypic corals under different conditions. *Biol. Bull.* **117**, 239-250.
- 877 Grossman E. L. and Ku T.-L. (1986) Oxygen and carbon isotope fractionation in biogenic
878 aragonite: Temperature effects. *Chem. Geol. Isot. Geosci.* **59**, 59-74.
- 879 Grottoli A. G. (1999) Variability of stable isotopes and maximum linear extension in reef-coral
880 skeletons at Kaneohe Bay, Hawaii. *Mar. Biol.* **135**, 437-449.
- 881 Grottoli A. G. (2002) Effect of light and brine shrimp levels on skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ values in the
882 Hawaiian coral *Porites compressa*: A tank experiment. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **66**, 1955-
883 1967.
- 884 Grottoli A. G. and Eakin C. M. (2007) A review of modern coral $\delta^{18}\text{O}$ and $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$ proxy records.
885 *Earth Sci. Rev.* **81**, 67-91.
- 886 Grottoli AG, Rodrigues LJ, Juarez C (2004) Lipids and stable carbon isotopes in two species of
887 Hawaiian corals, *Porites compressa* and *Montipora verrucosa*, following a bleaching event. *Mar*
888 *Biol* **145**, 621-631.
- 889
890 Grottoli A. G., Rodrigues L. J., Matthews K. A., Palardy J. E. and Gibb O. T. (2005) Pre-
891 treatment effects on coral skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\delta^{18}\text{O}$. *Chem. Geol.* **221**, 225-242.
- 892 Grottoli A. G., Rodrigues L. J. and Palardy J. E. (2006) Heterotrophic plasticity and resilience in
893 bleached corals. *Nature* **440**, 1186-1189.

- 894 Grottoli A. G. and Wellington G. M. (1999) Effect of light and zooplankton on skeletal $\delta^{13}\text{C}$
895 values in the Eastern Pacific corals *Pavona clavus* and *Pavona gigantea*. *Coral Reefs* **18**, 29-41.
- 896 Hartmann A. C., Carilli J. E., Norris R. D., Charles C. D. and Deheyn D. D. (2010) Stable
897 isotopic records of bleaching and endolithic algae blooms in the skeleton of the boulder forming
898 coral *Montastraea faveolata*. *Coral Reefs* **29**, 1079-1089.
- 899 Heikoop J. M., Dunn J. J., Risk M. J., Schwarcz H. P., McConnaughey T. A. and Sandeman I.
900 M. (2000) Separation of kinetic and metabolic isotope effects in carbon-13 records preserved in
901 reef coral skeletons. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **64**, 975-987.
- 902 Hoegh-Guldberg O. (1999) Climate change, coral bleaching and the future of the world's coral
903 reefs. *Mar. Freshwater Res.* **50**, 839-866.
- 904 Hoegh-Guldberg O. and Smith G. J. (1989) The effect of sudden changes in temperature, light,
905 and salinity on the population density and export of zooxanthellae from the reef coral *Stylophora*
906 *pistillata* Esper and *Seriatopora hystrix* Dana. *J. Exp. Mar. Biol. Ecol.* **129**, 279-303.
- 907 Jokiel P. L. and Coles S. L. (1990) Response of Hawaiian and other Indo-Pacific reef corals to
908 elevated temperature. *Coral Reefs* **8**, 155-162.
- 909 Jones A. M., Berkelmans R., van Oppen M. J. H., Mieog J. C. and Sinclair W. (2008) A
910 community change in the algal endosymbionts of a scleractinian coral following a natural
911 bleaching event: field evidence of acclimatization. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **275**, 1359-1365.
- 912 Kaandorp J. A., Sloot P. M. A., Merks R. M. H., Bak R. P. M., Vermeij M. J. A. and Maier C.
913 (2005) Morphogenesis of the branching reef coral *Madracis mirabilis*. *Proc. R. Soc. B.* **272**, 127-
914 133.
- 915 Leder J. J., Swart P. K., Szmant A. M. and Dodge R. E. (1996) The origin of variations in the
916 isotopic record of scleractinian corals: I. Oxygen. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **60**, 2857-2870.
- 917 Leder J. J., Szmant A. M. and Swart P. (1991) The effect of prolonged "bleaching" on skeletal
918 banding and stable isotopic composition in *Montastraea annularis*. *Coral Reefs* **10**, 19-27.
- 919 Lesser M. P., Slattery M., Stat M., Ojimi M., Gates R. D. and Grottoli A. (2010)
920 Photoacclimatization by the coral *Montastraea cavernosa* in the mesophotic zone: light, food,
921 and genetics. *Ecology* **91**, 990-1003.
- 922 Levas S. J. (2012) Biogeochemistry and Physiology of Bleached and Recovering Hawaiian and
923 Caribbean Corals. PhD thesis, The Ohio State University.
- 924 Levas S. J., Grottoli A. G., Hughes A. D., Osburn C. L. and Matsui Y. (2013) Physiological and
925 biogeochemical traits of bleaching and recovery in the mounding species of coral *Porites lobata*:

- 926 Implications for resilience in mounding corals. *PLoS One* **8**, e63267.
927 doi:63210.61371/journal.pone.0063267.
- 928 Maier C. (2004) Exploring stable isotopic variation in scleractinian coral tissue and skeleton:
929 Proxies for climate, ecological plasticity and coral functioning. PhD thesis, University of
930 Amsterdam.
- 931 Maier C., Felis T., Pätzold J. and Bak R. P. M. (2004) Effect of skeletal growth and lack of
932 species effects in the skeletal oxygen isotope climate signal within the coral genus *Porites*. *Mar.*
933 *Geol.* **207**, 193-208.
- 934 Maier C., Paetzold J. and Bak R. P. M. (2003) The skeletal isotopic composition as an indicator
935 of ecological and physiological plasticity in the coral genus *Madracis*. *Coral Reefs* **22**, 370-380.
- 936 Maier C., Weinbauer M. G. and Paetzold J. (2010) Stable isotopes reveal limitations in C and N
937 assimilation in the Caribbean reef corals *Madracis auretenra*, *M. carmabi* and *M. formosa*. *Mar.*
938 *Ecol. Prog. Ser.* **412**, 103-112.
- 939 McConnaughey T. (1989a) ^{13}C and ^{18}O isotopic disequilibrium in biological carbonates: I.
940 Patterns. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **53**, 151-162.
- 941 McConnaughey T. (1989b) ^{13}C and ^{18}O isotopic disequilibrium in biological carbonates: II. In
942 vitro simulation of kinetic isotope effects. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **53**, 163-171.
- 943 McConnaughey T. A. (2003) Sub-equilibrium oxygen-18 and carbon-13 levels in biological
944 carbonates: carbonate and kinetic models. *Coral Reefs* **22**, 316-327.
- 945 McConnaughey T. A., Burdett J., Whelan J. F. and Paull C. K. (1997) Carbon isotopes in
946 biological carbonates: Respiration and photosynthesis. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **61**, 611-622.
- 947 McGinley M. (2012) The impact of environmental stress on *Symbiodinium* spp.: A molecular
948 and community-scale investigation. PhD thesis, University of Delaware.
- 949 McNichol A. P., Osborne E. A., Gagnon A.R., Fry B. and G. A. Jones (1994) TIC, TOC, DIC,
950 DOC, PIC, POC - unique aspects in the preparation of oceanographic samples for ^{14}C -AMS.
951 *Nuclear Instruments and Methods in Physics Research Section B: Beam Interaction with*
952 *Materials and Atoms* **92**, 162-165.
- 953 Moran M. D. (2003) Arguments for rejecting the sequential Bonferroni in ecological studies.
954 *Oikos* **100**, 403-405.
- 955 Moyer R. P. and Grottoli A. G. (2011) Coral skeletal carbon isotopes ($\delta^{13}\text{C}$ and $\Delta^{14}\text{C}$) record the
956 delivery of terrestrial carbon to the coastal waters of Puerto Rico. *Coral Reefs* **30**, 791-802.

- 957 Omata T., Suzuki A., Kawahat H. and Okamoto M. (2005) Annual fluctuation in the stable
958 carbon isotope ratio of coral skeletons: The relative intensities of kinetic and metabolic isotope
959 effects. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 3007-3016.
- 960 Omata T., Suzuki A., Sato T., Minoshima K., Nomaru E., Murakami A., Murayama S.,
961 Kawahata H. and Maruyama T. (2008) Effect of photosynthetic light dosage on carbon isotope
962 composition in the coral skeleton: Long-term culture of *Porites* spp. *J. Geophys. Res.* **113**,
963 G02014.
- 964 Ostlund H. G., Craig H., Broecker W. S. and Spenser D., 1987. GEOSECS Atlantic, Pacific and
965 Indian Ocean expeditions: Shorebased Data and Graphics, vol. 7. National Science Foundation,
966 Washington, D.C.
- 967 Ourbak T., DeLong K., Corregge T., Malaize B., Kilbourne H., Caquineau S. and Hollander D.
968 (2008) The significance of geochemical proxies in corals - does size (age) matter? *Proc. 11th Int.*
969 *Coral Reef Symp.* **4**, 82-86.
- 970 Porter J. W., Fitt W. K., Spero H. J., Rogers A. D. and White M. W. (1989) Bleaching in reef
971 corals: physiological and stable isotopic responses. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **86**, 9342-9346.
- 972 Quinn G. P. and Keough M. J. (2002) *Experimental Design and Data Analysis for Biologists*.
973 Cambridge University Press, New York.
- 974 Risk M. J., Sherwood O. A., Heikoop J. M. and Llewellyn G. (2003) Smoke signals from corals:
975 isotopic signature of the 1997 Indonesian 'haze' event. *Mar. Geol.* **202**, 71-78.
- 976 Rodrigues L. J. and Grottoli A. G. (2006) Calcification rate and the stable carbon, oxygen, and
977 nitrogen isotopes in the skeleton, host tissue, and zooxanthellae of bleached and recovering
978 Hawaiian corals. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **70**, 2781-2789.
- 979 Rodrigues L. J. and Grottoli A. G. (2007) Energy reserves and metabolism as indicators of coral
980 recovery from bleaching. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **52**, 1874-1882.
- 981 Rodrigues L. J., Grottoli A. G. and Lesser M. (2008) Long-term changes in the chlorophyll
982 fluorescence of bleached and recovering corals from Hawaii *J. Exp. Biol.* **211**, 2502-2509.
- 983 Rollion-Bard C., Chaussidon M. and France-Lanord C. (2003) pH control on oxygen isotopic
984 composition of symbiotic corals. *Earth Planet. Sci. Lett.* **215**, 275-288.
- 985 Romanek C. S., Grossman E. L. and Morse J. W. (1992) Carbon isotopic fractionation in
986 synthetic aragonite and calcite: Effects of temperature and precipitation rate. *Geochim.*
987 *Cosmochim. Acta* **56**, 419-430.
- 988 Schmidt G. A. (1999) Forward modeling of carbonate proxy data from planktonic foraminifera
989 using oxygen isotope tracers in a global ocean model. *Paleoceanography* **14**, 482-497.

- 990 Schmidt G. A., Bigg G. R. and Rohling E. J. (1999) Global seawater oxygen-18 database - v1.21.
991 <http://data.giss.nasa.gov/o18data/>.
- 992 Schoepf V. (2013) Physiology and Biogeochemistry of Corals Subjected to Repeat Bleaching
993 and Combined Ocean Acidification and Warming. PhD thesis, The Ohio State University.
- 994 Suzuki A., Gagan M. K., Fabricius K., Isdale P. J., Yukino I. and Kawahata H. (2003) Skeletal
995 isotope microprofiles of growth perturbations in *Porites* corals during the 1997-1998 mass
996 bleaching event. *Coral Reefs* **22**, 357-369.
- 997 Suzuki A., Hibino K., Iwase A. and Kawahata H. (2005) Intercolony variability of skeletal
998 oxygen and carbon isotope signatures of cultured *Porites* corals: Temperature-controlled
999 experiments. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 4453-4462.
- 1000 Suzuki A., Nakamura T., Yamasaki H., Minoshima K. and Kawahata H. (2008) Influence of
1001 water flow on skeletal isotopic composition in the coral *Pocillopora damicornis*. *Coral Reefs* **27**,
1002 209-218.
- 1003 Swart P., Dodge R. E. and Hudson H. J. (1996a) A 240-year stable oxygen and carbon isotopic
1004 record in a coral from South Florida: Implications for the prediction of precipitation in Southern
1005 Florida. *Palaios* **11**, 362-373.
- 1006 Swart P., Leder J. J., Szmant A. M. and Dodge R. E. (1996b) The origin of variations in the
1007 isotopic record of scleractinian corals: II. Carbon. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **60**, 2871-2885.
- 1008 Swart P., Szmant A. M., Porter J. W., Dodge R. E., Tougas J. I. and Southam J. R. (2005) The
1009 isotopic composition of respired carbon dioxide in scleractinian corals: Implications for cycling
1010 of organic carbon in corals. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* **69**, 1495-1509.
- 1011 Swart P. K. (1983) Carbon and oxygen isotope fractionation in scleractinian corals: A review.
1012 *Earth Sci. Rev.* **19**, 51-80.
- 1013 Venn A., Tambutte E., Holcomb M., Allemand D. and Tambutte S. (2011) Live tissue imaging
1014 shows reef corals elevate pH under their calcifying tissue relative to seawater. *PLoS One* **6**,
1015 e20013.
- 1016 Watanabe T., Winter A., Oba T., Anzai R. and Ishioroshi H. (2002) Evaluation of the fidelity of
1017 isotope records as an environmental proxy in the coral *Montastraea*. *Coral Reefs* **21**, 169-178.
1018
1019